COHOMOLOGICAL UNIQUENESS, MASSEY PRODUCTS AND THE MODULAR ISOMORPHISM PROBLEM FOR 2-GROUPS OF MAXIMAL NILPOTENCY CLASS
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Abstract. Let $G$ be a finite 2-group of maximal nilpotency class, and let $BG$ be its classifying space. We prove that iterated Massey products in $H^*(BG;\mathbb{F}_2)$ do characterize the homotopy type of $BG$ among 2-complete spaces with the same cohomological structure. As a consequence we get an alternative proof of the modular isomorphism problem for 2-groups of maximal nilpotency class.

1. Introduction

Let $G$ be a finite $p$-group, and let $BG$ be its classifying space. In this work we consider the cohomological uniqueness of $BG$: choose some mod-$p$ cohomological invariants and consider $\mathcal{X}$ a $p$-complete space such that $BG$ and $\mathcal{X}$ agree on these cohomological invariants, does it mean that $\mathcal{X}$ is homotopy equivalent to $BG$? As one may expect, the answer to this question depends on the mod-$p$ cohomological invariants chosen.

In [BL97], C. Broto and R. Levi initiated the study of the cohomological uniqueness of $BG$ in terms of Steenrod operations and Bockstein spectral sequences. In this setting, they proved the cohomological uniqueness of the classifying space of every dihedral 2-groups [BL97], and every quaternion group [BL02]. Unfortunately, the available techniques seem not to be strong enough to decide the cohomological uniqueness of the classifying space of semidihedral 2-groups in terms of Steenrod operations and Bockstein spectral sequences.

In order to give a unified approach to the cohomological uniqueness of $BG$, when $G$ is a finite 2-group of maximal nilpotency class (i.e. dihedral, quaternion and semidihedral 2-groups), we propose a different set of mod-$p$ cohomology invariants: the algebra structure of $H^*(BG;\mathbb{F}_p)$ (not taking into account Steenrod operations), and iterated Massey products in this algebra (see Section 2 for precise definitions). In this setting we prove:

Theorem 1.1. Let $G$ be a finite 2-group of maximal nilpotency class. Let $\mathcal{X}$ be a 2-complete topological space having the homotopy type of a CW-complex such that $H^*(\mathcal{X};\mathbb{F}_2) \cong H^*(BG;\mathbb{F}_2)$ as algebras with iterated Massey products. Then $\mathcal{X} \simeq BG$.

Proof. We first describe $H^*(BG;\mathbb{F}_2)$ as algebra with iterated Massey products in Section 4. This structure is used to construct a homotopy equivalence $\mathcal{X} \to BG$ whenever $G$ is dihedral (Theorem 5.2), quaternion (Theorem 5.4) or semidihedral (Theorem 5.7). \qed
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Besides of its own topological interest, the study of the cohomological uniqueness of clas-
sifying spaces may have implications in a long standing algebraic problem: the modular
isomorphism problem.

The modular isomorphism problem asks whether a $p$-group $G$ is determined by $\mathbb{F}_p[G]$, its
group algebra over the field of $p$-elements. That is, given another finite $p$-group $H$ such that $\mathbb{F}_p[G] \cong \mathbb{F}_p[H]$ as rings, does it mean that $G \cong H$ as groups? The general question still
remains open, a positive answer to this question is known for a few families of $p$-groups (see
[BK07, Introduction] for an up to date list of results).

Then the interplay between cohomological uniqueness of classifying spaces and the modular
isomorphism problem is clear: let $G$ be a finite $p$-group such that $BG$ is cohomology unique
when considered a set of mod-$p$ cohomological invariants that can be deduced from the group
algebra $\mathbb{F}_p[G]$, then the modular isomorphism problem has a positive answer for $G$.

So we obtain a positive answer to the modular isomorphism problem for finite 2-groups of
maximal nilpotency class (see [Car77], and [Bag92] for other approaches to this result):

**Corollary 1.2.** Let $G$ be a finite 2-group of maximal nilpotency class, and let $H$ be a finite
2-group such that $\mathbb{F}_2[G] \cong \mathbb{F}_2[H]$ as rings, then $G \cong H$ as groups.

**Proof.** Given any finite $p$-group $K$, the algebra structure with iterated Massey products of
$H^*(BK; \mathbb{F}_p)$ can be obtained from the ring structure of $\mathbb{F}_p[G]$ by means of the Yoneda cocom-
plex (e.g. see [Bor04, Theorem 2.3 and Lemma 2.4]). Hence, if $\mathbb{F}_2[G] \cong \mathbb{F}_2[H]$ as rings, then $H^*(BH; \mathbb{F}_2) \cong H^*(BG; \mathbb{F}_2)$ as algebras with iterated Massey products. Then, according to
Theorem 1.1, $BG \simeq BH$ (recall that the classifying space of a finite $p$-group is a $p$-complete
space), and $G \cong H$ as groups. \qed

We finish this introduction recalling that iterated Massey products of degree one classes
have been previously used by I.C. Borge in [Bor04] and [Bor01] to provide a cohomological
classification of finite $p$-groups. Unfortunately, her results cannot be applied in our topological
framework: in Remark 5.5, we give an example showing that iterated Massey products of
degree one classes cannot isolate the homotopy type of the classifying space of quaternion
groups.

**Organization of the paper:** In Section 2, we briefly review the definition, main properties and
tools needed in the computation of iterated Massey products. Section 3 is devoted to list and
give some properties of maximal nilpotency class 2-groups. In Section 4, we compute iterated
Massey products in the cohomology of these groups and in Section 5, we use this structure to
prove Theorem 1.1 case by case. There are also two appendices where we develop some explicit
computations needed in Section 4: Appendix A provides us with explicit representations of
maximal nilpotency class 2-groups in $\text{GL}_m(\mathbb{F}_2)$ for minimal $m$ and Appendix B is devoted to
express the generators in the cohomology of quaternion and semidihedral groups as cochain
morphisms in the Yoneda cocomplex.

**Notation:** In general the following notations will be used in the rest of the paper: group
elements are denoted with lower case letters ($x, y, \ldots$), while roman capital letters ($X, Y,
\ldots$) and calligraphic capital letters ($\mathcal{X}$ and $\mathcal{Y}$) are used to denote cohomology generators,
and topological spaces respectively.
Unless otherwise stated, cohomology means cohomology with trivial coefficients over the field $\mathbb{F}_2$, so $H^*(\mathcal{X}) = H^*(\mathcal{X}; \mathbb{F}_2)$. This also implies that when we talk about 2-complete spaces we are considering the 2-completion in the sense of A.K. Bousfield and D. Kan [BK72].

2. Iterated Massey products: definition and properties

In this section we quickly review the theory of iterated Massey products. A more detailed description can be found in [Kra66], or [May69].

Let $R$ be a ring with unit. Consider $C^*(G, R)$ the cochain algebra of the group $G$ with coefficients in $R$, and $d$ the coboundary operator in $C^*(G, R)$.

**Definition 2.1.** Let $\{X_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq n}$ be homogeneous elements in $H^*(G, R)$. A defining system for the $n$-fold iterated Massey product $\langle X_1, \ldots, X_n \rangle$, is an upper triangular matrix

$$M = \{m_{i,j} \mid 1 \leq i \leq n+1, i < j \leq n+1, (i,j) \neq (1,n+1)\}$$

with coefficients in $C^*(G, R)$ such that:

(i) $m_{i,i+1}$ is a representative for $X_i$ and

(ii) $dm_{i,j} = \sum_{k=i+1}^{j-1} m_{i,k} \cup m_{k,j}$ ($j \neq i+1$).

**Definition 2.2.** Given $M$ a defining system for $\langle X_1, \ldots, X_n \rangle$, the value of the product relative to this defining system, denoted $\langle X_1, \ldots, X_n \rangle_M$, is the element in $H^*(G; R)$ represented by the cocycle:

$$\sum_{k=2}^{n} m_{1,k} \cup m_{k,n+1}.$$ 

The $n$-fold iterated Massey product $\langle X_1, \ldots, X_n \rangle$ is defined as the set of elements which can be written as $\langle X_1, \ldots, X_n \rangle_M$ for some defining system $M$. The indeterminacy in the iterated Massey product $\langle X_1, \ldots, X_n \rangle$ is defined as the set of elements $Z$ which can be expressed as $Z = Y_1 - Y_2$ for $Y_1$ and $Y_2$ in $\langle X_1, \ldots, X_n \rangle$.

We now enumerate some of the properties which are used later:

(i) The iterated Massey product $\langle X_1, \ldots, X_n \rangle$ is not defined for all $X_1, \ldots, X_n$ in $H^*(G; R)$.

For example, $\langle X_1, X_2, X_3 \rangle$ is defined if and only if $X_1 \cup X_2 = X_2 \cup X_3 = 0$.

(ii) The degree of an element in $\langle X_1, \ldots, X_n \rangle$ is $\sum \deg(X_i) - n + 2$.

(iii) If $f: \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{Y}$ is a continuous map of topological spaces, and $Y_1, \ldots, Y_r$ are cohomology classes in $H^*(\mathcal{Y}; R)$ such that $\langle Y_1, \ldots, Y_r \rangle$ is defined, then so is $\langle f^*(Y_1), \ldots, f^*(Y_r) \rangle$ and $f^*(\langle Y_1, \ldots, Y_r \rangle) \subset \langle f^*(Y_1), \ldots, f^*(Y_r) \rangle$.

Moreover, if $f^*$ is an isomorphism, equality holds.

The next result follows from May’s proof of [May69, Theorem 1.5]:

**Lemma 2.3.** Let $f: \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{Y}$ be a continuous map such that $f^k: H^k(\mathcal{Y}; R) \to H^k(\mathcal{X}; R)$ is an isomorphism for $k \leq n$. Let $Y_1, \ldots, Y_r$ be elements in $H^*(\mathcal{Y}; R)$ such that $\sum \deg(Y_i) - r + 2 \leq n$. Then:

(a) $\langle Y_1, \ldots, Y_r \rangle$ is defined if and only if $\langle f^*(Y_1), \ldots, f^*(Y_r) \rangle$ is so.
Let \( p \) be a fixed prime. In this work, we compare the mod-\( p \) cohomology algebras (with iterated Massey products) of spaces. This is done by considering a special kind of morphisms:

**Definition 2.4.** Let \( \varphi: H^*(\mathcal{Y}; \mathbb{F}_p) \to H^*(\mathcal{X}; \mathbb{F}_p) \) be a morphism (not necessarily induced by a continuous map of topological spaces). We say that \( \varphi \) is an \( \mathcal{M} \)-isomorphism if

1. \( \varphi \) is an \( \mathbb{F}_p \)-algebras isomorphism and
2. for all \( r \geq 1 \) and \( Y_1, \ldots, Y_r \) elements in \( H^*(\mathcal{Y}; \mathbb{F}_p) \) such that \( \langle Y_1, \ldots, Y_r \rangle \) is defined, then \( \langle \varphi(Y_1), \ldots, \varphi(Y_r) \rangle \) is defined and
   \[
   \varphi(\langle Y_1, \ldots, Y_r \rangle) = \langle \varphi(Y_1), \ldots, \varphi(Y_r) \rangle
   \]

**Definition 2.5.** Let \( \mathcal{X} \) and \( \mathcal{Y} \) be topological spaces. We say that \( \mathcal{X} \) and \( \mathcal{Y} \) are \( \mathcal{M} \)-comparable if there exists an \( \mathcal{M} \)-isomorphism \( \varphi: H^*(\mathcal{Y}; \mathbb{F}_p) \to H^*(\mathcal{X}; \mathbb{F}_p) \). We say that \( \mathcal{X} \) is determined by its \( \mathcal{M} \)-cohomology if for any \( p \)-complete space \( \mathcal{Y} \) having the homotopy type of a \( CW \)-complex and such that it is \( \mathcal{M} \)-comparable to \( \mathcal{X} \) we have that \( \mathcal{X} \simeq \mathcal{Y} \).

The easiest examples of spaces determined by its \( \mathcal{M} \)-cohomology are provided by classifying spaces of some particular families of \( p \)-groups:

**Proposition 2.6.** Let \( E \) be an elementary abelian \( p \)-group, then \( BE \) is determined by its \( \mathcal{M} \)-cohomology.

**Proof.** Let \( E \) be a rank \( r \) elementary abelian \( p \)-group. First we prove the algebra structure of \( H^*(BE; \mathbb{F}_p) \) with iterated Massey products determines the Steenrod operations and the Bockstein spectral sequence. We consider two cases:

- If \( p = 2 \), then \( H^*(BE; \mathbb{F}_2) = \mathbb{F}_2[X_1, \ldots, X_r] \) where every \( X_i \) has degree 1. Therefore, the unstability axiom forces \( \text{Sq}^1 X_i = X_i^2 \), and this determines completely the Steenrod operations and the Bockstein spectral sequence.

- If \( p > 2 \), then \( H^*(BE; \mathbb{F}_p) = \Lambda(U_1, \ldots, U_r) \otimes \mathbb{F}_p[V_1, \ldots, V_r] \) where every \( U_i \) has degree 1, and every \( V_i \) has degree 2. Again, the unstability axiom forces \( P^1 U_i = 0 \) and \( P^1 V_i = V_i^p \), and this determines completely the Steenrod operations. Moreover, according to [Kra66, Theorem 19], the \( p \)-fold iterated Massey product \( \langle U_{i_1}, U_{i_2}, \ldots, U_{i_k} \rangle \) equals \( \beta_1(U_{i_1}) = V_i \), where \( \beta_1 \) is the primary Bockstein operator. So, in this case, Massey products completely determine the Bockstein spectral sequence.

Finally, \( BE \) is determined by its cohomology with Steenrod operations and Bockstein spectral sequence [BL97, Proposition 1.5], thus the result follows. \qed

### 2.1. Iterated Massey products of degree one elements.

In this subsection we recall the work of W.G. Dwyer [Dwy75, Section 2], that relates iterated Massey products of degree one elements in the cohomology of a group and representations of this group in the upper triangular matrices.

Let \( U(R, n) \) be the multiplicative group of all upper triangular \( n \times n \) matrices over \( R \) which agree with the identity matrix along the diagonal. The subgroup \( Z(R, n) \) of \( U(R, n) \) consists of matrices which are identically zero except along the diagonal and at position \((1, n)\). We get
that $Z(R, n) \cong R$ and it is contained in the center of $U(R, n)$, so it gives rise to the central extension:

\[(1) \quad Z(R, n) \to U(R, n) \to U(R, n)/Z(R, n) . \]

Given a group homomorphism $\phi: G \to U(R, n)$, the image of $g \in G$ is a matrix with coefficients $\phi_{i,j}(g) \in R$. Remark that the elements $\phi_{i,i+1}(g)$ satisfy the equation:

$\phi_{i,i+1}(g_1 g_2) = \phi_{i,i+1}(g_1) + \phi_{i,i+1}(g_2)$.

So $\phi_{i,i+1}$ are group morphisms from $G$ to $R$, and thus represent cohomology classes in $H^1(G; R)$. These elements are called near diagonal elements of $\phi$.

**Theorem 2.7** ([Dwy75]). Let $X_1, \ldots, X_n$ be elements in $H^1(G; R)$. There is a one-to-one correspondence $M \leftrightarrow \phi_M$ between defining systems $M$ for $\langle X_1, \ldots, X_n \rangle$ and group homomorphisms $\phi_M: G \to U(R, n + 1)$ which have $-X_1, \ldots, -X_n$ as near-diagonal components. Moreover, given $\phi_M$, we can pull back the extension in Equation (1), getting an extension of $G$ by $R$. Then $\langle X_1, \ldots, X_n \rangle_M$ is exactly the characteristic class of this extension.

2.2. **Iterated Massey products in higher degrees.** In order to compute iterated Massey products of higher degree elements we use the Yoneda cocomplex [Bor01, Section 2.5]:

Fix $G$ a $p$-group and $P_* \to \mathbb{F}_p$ a $\mathbb{F}_p[G]$-free resolution with differentials $\partial_i: P_{i+1} \to P_i$.

**Definition 2.8.** The Yoneda cocomplex $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}_p[G]}^\bullet(P_*, P_\bullet)$ is defined as:

- In degree $i$ we have the $\mathbb{F}_p[G]$-module:

  $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}_p[G]}^i(P_*, P_\bullet) = \prod_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}_p[G]}(P_{i+n}, P_i), \quad i \geq 0$

  and 0 otherwise.

- The differential of $\phi^i = \{\phi^i_n: P_{i+n} \to P_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \subseteq \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}_p[G]}^i(P_*, P_\bullet)$, is defined as:

  $\delta^i(\phi^i_n) = \partial_{n-1}\phi^i_n - (-1)^i\phi^i_{n-1}\partial_{n+i-1}$.

- The algebra structure in the cohomology of $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}_p[G]}^\bullet(P_*, P_\bullet)$ is induced by the composition of elements as a cochain morphisms.

The following result tells us that we can use this tool to work with the cohomology of a group:

**Theorem 2.9** ([Bor01]). $H^i(\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}_p[G]}^\bullet(P_*, P_\bullet), \delta^\bullet) \cong H^i(G; \mathbb{F}_p)$ for all $i \geq 0$.

3. **Maximal nilpotency class 2-groups**

The maximal nilpotency class finite 2-groups are precisely the dihedral, quaternion and semidihedral groups.

Consider the following finite presentations of these three families of 2-groups:

\[(2) \quad D_{2^n} = \langle x, y \mid x^{2^n-1} = 1, y^2 = 1, yxy^{-1} = x^{-1} \rangle,
\]

$Q_{2^n} = \langle x, z \mid x^{2^n-1} = 1, z^2 = x^{2^{n-2}}, zxz^{-1} = x^{-1} \rangle$ and

$SD_{2^n} = \langle x, t \mid x^{2^n-1} = 1, t^2 = 1, txt^{-1} = x^{2^n-2} \rangle$. 

And also the following cohomology rings with coefficients in $\mathbb{F}_2$:

\begin{align}
H^*(BD_4) &\cong \mathbb{F}_2[X,Y], \\
H^*(BD_{2n}) &\cong \mathbb{F}_2[X,Y,W]/(X^2 + XY) \text{ for } n \geq 3, \\
H^*(BQ_8) &\cong \mathbb{F}_2[X,Y,V]/(X^2 + XY + Y^2, X^2Y + XY^2), \\
H^*(BQ_{2n}) &\cong \mathbb{F}_2[X,Y,V]/(X^2 + XY, Y^3) \text{ for } n \geq 4 \text{ and} \\
H^*(BSD_{2n}) &\cong \mathbb{F}_2[X,Y,U,V]/(X^2 + XY, UX, X^3, U^2 + (X^2 + Y^2)V) \\
&\text{for } n \geq 4.
\end{align}

where $\deg(X) = \deg(Y) = 1$, $\deg(W) = 2$, $\deg(U) = 3$ and $\deg(V) = 4$ in all the cases.

The results in this paper will not require that the morphisms between the cohomologies of the spaces preserve the structure of algebra over the Steenrod Algebra, but in some proofs we will use that such a structure exists. More precisely we will use that it is unstable and that it is known that $Sq^1(W) = WY$ in $H^*(BD_{2n})$ ($n \geq 3$).

The following properties can be found in [BL97]. There is a tower of principal fibrations:

\begin{equation}
\cdots \xrightarrow{\pi_3} BD_{2n} \xrightarrow{\pi_2^{-1}} BD_{2n-1} \xrightarrow{\pi_2^{-3}} \cdots \xrightarrow{\pi_2} BD_8 \xrightarrow{\pi_2} BD_4
\end{equation}

where $\pi_2$ is classified by the class $X^2 + XY \in H^2(BD_4)$ and $\pi_n$ by $W \in H^2(BD_{2n})$ for $n \geq 3$.

The quaternion and semidihedral groups fit in the following central extensions:

\begin{equation}
\mathbb{Z}/2 \to Q_{2n} \to D_{2n-1} \text{ and } \mathbb{Z}/2 \to SD_{2n} \to D_{2n-1}
\end{equation}

classified by the following classes in $H^2(BD_{2n-1})$: $X^2 + XY + Y^2$ in the case $Q_8$, $W + Y^2$ in the case $Q_{2n}$ ($n \geq 4$) and $W + X^2$ in the case $SD_{2n}$ ($n \geq 4$).

4. Iterated Massey products in the cohomology of maximal nilpotency class 2-groups

This section is devoted to the computation of the iterated Massey products in the cohomology of dihedral, quaternion and semidihedral groups. The results presented here as Lemmas 4.2, 4.3, 4.4 and 4.5 can be summarized in the following theorem:

**Theorem 4.1.** Consider $D_{2n}$ ($n \geq 2$), $Q_{2n}$ ($n \geq 3$) and $SD_{2n}$ ($n \geq 4$) the dihedral, quaternion and semidihedral groups of order $2^n$, and the generators of their cohomology as denoted in Equation (3). Then:

(a) Neither of the elements $W$, $W + Y^2$ or $W + X^2$ is contained in an iterated Massey product of degree one elements in the cohomology of $D_{2n}$ of order less than $2^{n-1}$.

(b) The $2^{n-1}$-fold iterated Massey product in the cohomology of $D_{2n}$ defined as $\langle X, X + Y, \ldots, X, X + Y \rangle$ contains $W$, $W + Y^2$ and $W + X^2$.

(c) The $2^{n-1}$-th fold iterated Massey product in the cohomologies of $D_{2n}$, $Q_{2n}$ and $SD_{2n}$ defined as $\langle X, X + Y, \ldots, X, X + Y \rangle$ does not contain the zero element.

(d) The $m$-fold iterated Massey product $\langle X, X + Y, X, X + Y, \ldots \rangle$ is not defined for $m > 2^{n-1}$ in any of the cohomologies of $D_{2n}$, $Q_{2n}$ and $SD_{2n}$.

(e) $\langle Y, Y^2, Y, Y^2 \rangle = \{V\}$ in the cohomology of $Q_{2n}$.

(f) $\langle X, X^2, Y \rangle = \{U, U + Y^3\}$ and $\langle X, X^2, X, X^2 \rangle = \{V, V + YU\}$ in the cohomology of $SD_{2n}$.
4.1. Iterated Massey products of degree one elements. The representations described in Appendix A allows us to compute some iterated Massey products in the cohomology of the dihedral, quaternion and semidihedral groups:

**Lemma 4.2.** Consider the cohomology of $D_{2n}$, $n \geq 3$ as denoted in Equation (3). Then:

(a) Neither of the elements $W$, $W + Y^2$ or $W + X^2$ is contained in an iterated Massey product of degree one elements of order less than $2^{n-1}$.

(b) The $2^{n-1}$-fold iterated Massey product $\langle X, X + Y, \ldots, X, X + Y \rangle$ contains $W$, $W + Y^2$ and $W + X^2$.

**Proof.** Assume first that an $m$-fold iterated Massey product contains either $W$, $W + Y^2$ or $W + X^2$. Then we would have the following diagram:

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathbb{Z}/2 & \rightarrow & \mathbb{Z}/2 \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
K & \rightarrow & G \rightarrow U(2, m + 1) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
K & \rightarrow & D_{2^n} \rightarrow \bar{U}(2, m + 1)
\end{array}
\]

where the bottom right square is a pull-back, $K$ is defined as the kernel of the horizontal arrows and the vertical lines are central extensions.

As either $W$, $W + Y^2$ or $W + X^2$ classifies the extension, then $G$ is isomorphic to either $D_{2n+1}$, $Q_{2n+1}$ or $SD_{2n+1}$. The center of $G$ is exactly $\mathbb{Z}/2$. If $K$ is non trivial, as it is a normal subgroup in $G$, then $K$ intersects non-trivially the center of $G$, so it contains the center of $G$. But this implies that the center of $G$ maps injectively to $D_{2^n}$, and it contradicts the exactness of the vertical line. This implies that $K$ is trivial, so, there is an injection of $G$ in $U(2, m + 1)$, so by Lemma A.4, $m \geq 2^{n-1}$.

The representations in Lemma A.3 and Theorem 2.7 tell us that the $2^{n-1}$-fold iterated Massey product $\langle X, X + Y, \ldots, X, X + Y \rangle$ contains $W$, $W + Y^2$ and $W + X^2$. □

The following Lemma will use the same notation for the generators of $H^1(G)$ for different $G$, as noted in Equation (3) and the result applies to all of them:

**Lemma 4.3.** Consider the cohomology of the dihedral, quaternion and semidihedral groups of order $2^n$ as denoted in Equation (3). Then, for all these groups:

(a) The $2^{n-1}$-fold iterated Massey product defined as $\langle X, X + Y, \ldots, X, X + Y \rangle$ does not contain the zero element.

(b) The $m$-fold iterated Massey product $\langle X, X + Y, X, X + Y, \ldots \rangle$ is not defined for $m > 2^{n-1}$.

**Proof.** To prove (a), assume that the zero element is in a $2^{n-1}$-fold iterated Massey product of type $\langle X, X + Y, \ldots, X, X + Y \rangle$ of the cohomology of $G$, where $G$ is either $D_{2^n}$, $Q_{2^n}$ or
SD_{2^n}. Then there would be a group morphism from \( G \) to \( \overline{U}(\mathbb{F}_2, 2^{n-1} + 1) \) which lifts to a group morphism from \( G \) to \( U(\mathbb{F}_2, 2^{n-1} + 1) \) such that the image of \( x \) is a matrix with all the entries in position \((i, i + 1)\) equals 1. The order of such an element is \( 2^n \), bigger than the order of \( x \), getting a contradiction.

(b) can be deduced from (a): if a \( m \)-fold iterated Massey product \( \langle X, X + Y, X, X + Y, \ldots \rangle \) with \( m > 2^{n-1} \) is defined, then the zero element must be in all the strictly shorter subproducts, in particular in the \( 2^{n-1} \)-fold product of this type. \( \square \)

4.2. Iterated Massey products in higher degrees. Till now all the computations of iterated Massy products have involved only elements in cohomology in degree 1, obtaining elements in degree 2. In this subsection we compute Massey products involving also elements in degree 2, and obtaining the generators in degree 3 and 4 which appear in the cohomology of the quaternion and semidihedral groups.

We start with the quaternion groups.

**Lemma 4.4.** Consider the notation in Equation (3) for the cohomology of \( Q_{2^n} \), the quaternion group of order \( 2^n \) with \( n \geq 3 \). Then

\[
\langle Y, Y^2, Y, Y^2 \rangle = \{ V \}.
\]

**Proof.** In this proof we will consider \((P_\bullet, \partial_\bullet)\), the projective resolution of \( \mathbb{F}_2 \) as \( \mathbb{F}_2[Q_{2^n}] \)-module described in Lemma B.2. We also consider the generators \( Y \) and \( V \) in \( H^\ast(BQ_{2^n}) \) as elements in the Yoneda cocomplex as computed in Lemma B.3.

With all this data, computing products in these generators can be carried out by composing the corresponding cochain maps, so we can easily find cochain maps representing \( Y^2 \) and \( Y^3 \):

(i) \( Y^2 \) can be represented by cochain maps \((Y^2)_i \) defined \( Y_{i+1} \circ Y_i \): \( P_{i+2} \rightarrow P_i \), obtaining:

\[
(Y^2)_{4i} = (10), \quad (Y^2)_{4i+1} = (10), \quad (Y^2)_{4i+2} = (0) \quad \text{and} \quad (Y^2)_{4i+3} = (0 N_x).
\]

(ii) To compute the cochain maps representing \( Y^3 \) we can use the previous ones in the composition \((Y^3)_i \) defined \( (Y^2)_{i+1} \circ Y_i \): \( P_{i+3} \rightarrow P_i \), obtaining:

\[
(Y^3)_{4i} = (0), \quad (Y^3)_{4i+1} = (N_x Y), \quad (Y^3)_{4i+2} = (0) \quad \text{and} \quad (Y^3)_{4i+3} = (N_x 0).
\]

Now we proceed computing one element in the 4-fold iterated Massey product \( \langle Y, Y^2, Y, Y^2 \rangle \).

We must find the following coefficients in a defining system:

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
1 & Y & \alpha & \beta \\
0 & 1 & Y^2 & \alpha & \gamma \\
0 & 0 & 1 & Y & \alpha \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & Y^2 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{pmatrix}
\]

such that \( \delta \alpha = Y^3, \delta \beta = Y \alpha + \alpha Y, \delta \gamma = Y^2 \alpha + \alpha Y^2 \). Remark that we just need to compute the maps in low degrees, just enough to compose them and get the product. Now we proceed in the computation of representatives for \( \alpha, \beta \) and \( \gamma \):

(i) To compute \( \alpha \) we start with \( \alpha_0 = (1 \ 0): P^2 \rightarrow P^0 \). Now use the relation \((Y^3)_0 = (\delta \alpha)_0 = \alpha_0 \circ \partial_3 - \partial_1 \circ \alpha_1\), so \( \alpha_1 \) is a lifting of the \( \mathbb{F}_2[Q_{2^n}] \)-morphism \((Y^3)_0 + \alpha_0 \circ \partial_3 \) (we
can avoid the signs as we are working in \(\mathbb{F}_2\). This can be done step by step obtaining 
\[\alpha_i: P_{i+2} \to P_i;\]
\[\alpha_0 = (10), \alpha_1 = (10), \alpha_2 = (1), \text{ and } \alpha_3 = (K + L + N_x).\]

(ii) Computation of \(\beta\) is done from the formula \(\delta \beta = Y\alpha + \alpha Y\), so we need first \(\mathbb{F}_2[Q_{2^n}]\)-morphisms \((Y\alpha + \alpha Y)_i: P_{i+3} \to P_i\) calculated as composition and sum of the previously computed \(Y_j\) and \(\alpha_k\), obtaining:
\[
(Y\alpha + \alpha Y)_0 = (0), \quad (Y\alpha + \alpha Y)_1 = (L + N_x), \quad (Y\alpha + \alpha Y)_2 = (\frac{0}{0}, \frac{1}{0}), \quad (Y\alpha + \alpha Y)_3 = (L + N_x K) \quad \text{and} \quad (Y\alpha + \alpha Y)_4 = (0).
\]

So we can now proceed to compute a representative for \(\beta\) also lifting step by step the corresponding morphisms, using the same technique as the used in the computation in \(\alpha\). \(\beta_i: P_{i+2} \to P_i\) can be taken as:
\[
\beta_0 = (00), \quad \beta_1 = (00), \quad \beta_2 = \left(\frac{1+J+J^2}{L(1+J)}\right) \quad \text{and} \quad \beta_3 = (KL + N_x).
\]

(iii) Relation \(\delta \gamma = Y^2\alpha + \alpha Y^2\) gives us the coefficients in the computation of \(\gamma\), following the same procedure as the computation of \(\beta\). For the sake of completeness we list here \((Y^2\alpha + \alpha Y^2)_i: P_{i+4} \to P_i\) for small \(i:\)
\[
(Y^2\alpha + \alpha Y^2)_0 = (J), \quad (Y^2\alpha + \alpha Y^2)_1 = (K L 0), \quad (Y^2\alpha + \alpha Y^2)_2 = (J L 0)
\]
and \((Y^2\alpha + \alpha Y^2)_4 = (KL)\).

And also some possible choices for \(\gamma\). \(\gamma_i: P_{i+3} \to P_i\) can be taken as:
\[
\gamma_0 = (0), \quad \gamma_1 = (1), \quad \gamma_2 = (00), \quad \text{and} \quad \gamma_3 = (01).
\]

Now we can get an element of the 4-fold iterated Massey product composing and adding the previous computations in the formula \(Y\gamma + \alpha^2 + \beta Y\). We obtain the \(\mathbb{F}_2[Q_{2^n}]\)-morphism \((Y\gamma + \alpha^2 + \beta Y)_0: P_4 \to P_0\) represented by the matrix (1), so it is equivalent to \(V\).

This procedure proves that \(V \in \langle Y, Y^2, Y, Y^2 \rangle\), but we have done several choices. Let us see now that if we choose other elements we get again \(V\): by [Kra66, Theorem 3] we can fix the representatives of \(Y\) and \(Y^2\) to construct any defining system. Assume we change all coefficients \(\alpha\) by (possibly) \(\alpha'\), \(\alpha''\), \(\alpha'''\), \(\beta\) by \(\beta'\), and finally \(\gamma\) by \(\gamma'\) getting a new defining system:

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
1 & Y & \alpha' & \beta' \\
0 & 1 & Y^2 & \alpha'' & \gamma' \\
0 & 0 & 1 & Y & \alpha''' \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & Y^2 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{pmatrix}.
\]

We have that \(\delta(\alpha - \alpha') = 0\), so there are \(a'\) and \(b'\) in \(\mathbb{F}_2\) such that
\[
\alpha' = \alpha + a'X^2 + b'Y^2.
\]

The same argument applied to \(\alpha''\) and \(\alpha'''\) gives us the relations
\[
\alpha'' = \alpha + a''X^2 + b''Y^2 \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha''' = \alpha + a'''X^2 + b'''Y^2,
\]
for \(a'', a''', b''\) and \(b'''\) elements in \(\mathbb{F}_2\).
Now \( \delta(\beta - \beta') = (Y\alpha'' + \alpha'Y) - (Y\alpha + \alpha Y) = Y(\alpha'' - \alpha) + (\alpha' - \alpha)Y = Y(a''X^2 + b''Y^2) + (a'X^2 + bY^2)Y = (a'' + a')YX^2 + (b'' + b')Y^3 \). As \( YX^2 \neq 0 \in H^*(BQ_{2n}) \) we get that \( a'' = a' \) and there are possibly \( a^{(iv)}, b^{(iv)} \) and \( c^{(iv)} \) in \( \mathbb{F}_2 \) such that

\[
\beta' = \beta + a^{(iv)}X^2 + b^{(iv)}Y^2 + c^{(iv)}\alpha.
\]

A similar expansion of the expression \( \delta(\gamma - \gamma') = (Y\alpha'' + \alpha'Y) - (Y\alpha + \alpha Y) \) tells us that

\[
\gamma' = \gamma + a^{(v)}X^3 + b^{(v)}Y^3.
\]

So, the result of this defining system differs from \( V \) by an element which can be written as, after simplifying, \( \alpha(a''X^2 + (b'' + 1)Y^2) + (a'X^2 + b'Y^2)\alpha \), for \( a', a'', b', b'' \in \mathbb{F}_2 \). We use again the Yoneda cocomplex for all this generators to compute it, and this always give the zero element in cohomology, so

\[
\langle Y, Y^2, Y, Y^2 \rangle = \{ V \}.
\]

\[\square\]

**Lemma 4.5.** Let \( SD_{2n} \) be a semidihedral group of order \( 2^n \), with \( n \geq 4 \). Fix \( X, Y, U \) and \( V \) the generators in \( H^*(SD_{2n}) \) as in Equation (3). Then

\[
\langle X, X^2, Y \rangle = \langle U, U + Y^3 \rangle \text{ and } \langle X, X^2, X, X^2 \rangle = \{ V, V + YU \}.
\]

**Proof.** Here we use the projective resolution \((P_\bullet, \partial_\bullet)\) from Lemma B.5 and the properties of the representatives of \( X, Y, U \) and \( V \) in the Yoneda listed in Lemma B.6.

The thread of the proof is the same as the one in Lemma 4.4. To make it shorter and clearer we will focus on the entries of the matrices as \( \mathbb{F}_2[Q_{2n}] \)-morphisms in the Yoneda cocomplex which define the elements we are interested in.

We must start checking that \( U \) or \( U + Y^2 \) are in \( \langle X, X^2, Y \rangle \). By Lemma B.6, this reduces to see that the first coordinate, as \( \mathbb{F}_2[Q_{2n}] \)-morphism \( P_3 \to P_0 \) in the Yoneda cocomplex, in a explicit defining system of this iterated Massey product is non zero. We need to compute the first degrees of cochain maps \( \alpha \) and \( \beta \) fitting in the following defining system:

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
1 & X & \alpha \\
0 & 1 & X^2 & \beta \\
0 & 0 & 1 & Y
\end{pmatrix}.
\]

(i) The \( \mathbb{F}_2[SD_{2n}] \)-morphism \( \alpha \) must fulfill \( \delta\alpha = X^3 \). A direct computation gives us that we can take \( \alpha_1 \): \( P_{i+2} \to P_i \) as:

\[
\alpha_0 = (1 0 0) \text{ and } \alpha_1 = \begin{pmatrix}
1 + I^{2n-1-3} & t(L + I^{2n-2}) & x^2I^{2n-1-5} & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0
\end{pmatrix}.
\]

(ii) Observe that \( \delta \) must fulfill \( \delta\beta = X^2Y \) and we have the relation \( X^3 = X^2Y \), so we can take \( \alpha = \beta \).

So the resulting element in \( \langle X, X^2, Y \rangle \) of this defining system is \( X\alpha + \alpha Y = X\alpha + \beta Y \), and we just need to compute the evaluation of the morphism at level 0:

\[
\varepsilon(\alpha_0 X_2 + Y_0 \alpha_1) = (1 0 1 0),
\]

as a map from \( P_3 \) to \( \mathbb{F}_2 \). By Lemma B.6, this element corresponds either to \( U + Y^3 \) or \( U \).
Now we must deal with the indeterminacy in this 3-fold iterated Massey products to get the final result. Applying [May69, Proposition 2.3], in a 3-fold iterated Massey product, two elements in \( \langle X, X^2, Y \rangle \) differ by an element of the form \( \langle Z, Y \rangle + \langle X, Z' \rangle \), for \( Z, Z' \) elements in \( H^2(BSD_{2^r}) \). As \( Y^3 \) is the only element which can be constructed in this way we get
\[
\langle X, X^2, Y \rangle = \{ U, U + Y^3 \}.
\]

We need now to compute one element in \( \langle X, X^2, X, X^2 \rangle \). Again, the computations will focus on the information which tell us that either \( V \) or \( V + YU \) belongs to this 4-fold iterated Massey product: that is, by Lemma B.6, there is an element of the form \( (1 \ast \ast \ast 0) \) in \( \langle X, X^2, X, X^2 \rangle \), seen as a map from \( P_4 \) to \( \mathbb{F}_2 \) in the Yoneda cocomplex.

Here we use the same procedure as in the proof of Lemma 4.4, using the matrices \( X_i \) described above. We need \( \alpha, \beta \) and \( \gamma \) in a defining system:
\[
\begin{pmatrix}
1 & X & \alpha & \beta \\
0 & 1 & X^2 & \alpha & \gamma \\
0 & 0 & 1 & X & \alpha \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & X^2 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{pmatrix}.
\]

So the relations we must care about are \( \delta \alpha = X^3 \), \( \delta \beta = X \alpha + \alpha X \) and \( \delta \gamma = X^2 \alpha + \alpha X^2 \).

By definition, \( \alpha \) may be taken the same \( \alpha \) considered in the computation of \( \langle X, X^2, Y \rangle \). Moreover, as \( X \alpha + \alpha X \) gives the same representative as \( X^3 \) in the Yoneda cocomplex, we can take also \( \beta = \alpha \). Finally, this election of \( \alpha \) makes \( X^2 \alpha + \alpha X^2 \) to be the zero element, so we can take \( \gamma = 0 \).

Now we can proceed in the computation of the first coordinate of \( X \gamma + \alpha^2 + \beta X^2 \):
\[
\varepsilon(0 + \alpha_0 \alpha_2 + X_0 X_1 \alpha_2).
\]

We just need the first and last columns of \( \alpha_2 \), which we can see that have \( (1, 0, 0, 0) \) and \( (0, 0, 0) \) as coefficients respectively. As the result of the computations is the sum of the first two rows, we get that the result is of the form \( (1 \ast \ast \ast 0) \) as a map from \( P_4 \) to \( \mathbb{F}_2 \), obtaining either \( V \) or \( V + YU \) by Lemma B.3.

We can also use the computations in the indeterminacy of the 4-fold Massey iterated product in Lemma 4.4 to get a formula for the indeterminacy in this 4-fold iterated Massey product. Two elements in \( \langle X, X^2, X, X^2 \rangle \) differ by an element which can be expressed as \( \alpha(aX^2 + bY^2) + (a'X^2 + b'Y^2)\alpha \), with \( \alpha \) a cochain such that \( \delta \alpha = X^3 \) and \( a, b, a', b' \in \mathbb{F}_2 \).

Any element of this form gives a map \( \mathbb{F}_2[G]^5 \to \mathbb{F}_2[G] \to \mathbb{F}_2 \) with zeros in the first and last coordinates and the coefficient \( a' \) in the second. So just the element \( YU \) is in the indeterminacy, getting that:
\[
\langle X, X^2, X, X^2 \rangle = \{ V, V + YU \}.
\]

\[\square\]

5. Cohomological uniqueness

This section is divided in 3 subsections with the same structure, one for each family of 2-groups of maximal nilpotency class.
5.1. Dihedral groups. Consider $D_{2^n}$ the dihedral group of order $2^n$, and its cohomology for $n \geq 3$ as defined in Equations (2) and (3).

The following lemma uses the fact that, for any topological space $\mathcal{X}$, $H^*(\mathcal{X})$ is an unstable algebra over the Steenrod algebra, but the lemma does not require that this structure must be the same as the one in $H^*(BD_{2^n})$:

**Lemma 5.1.** Let $\mathcal{X}$ be an space such that $H^*(\mathcal{X}) \cong H^*(BD_{2^n})$ as algebras. Let $\phi: \mathcal{X} \to BD_{2^n}$ be a map inducing the identity in degree one cohomology. Then either $\phi^*$ is an isomorphism or $\phi^*(W) = 0$.

**Proof.** According to the hypothesis, $\phi^*$ is an isomorphism if and only if $W$ is in the image of $\phi^*$. In other words $\phi^*$ is not an isomorphism if and only if $\phi^*(W) = aX^2 + bY^2$ for $a, b \in \mathbb{F}_2$.

Assume then that $\phi^*(W) = aX^2 + bY^2$. Applying $Sq^1$ in both sides we get $Sq^1(W) = WY$ and $Sq^1(aX^2 + bY^2) = 0$. So $0 = Sq^1(\phi^*(W)) = \phi^*(Sq^1(W)) = (aX^2 + bY^2)Y$ which implies $a = b = 0$. \hfill $\square$

**Theorem 5.2.** $BD_{2^n}$ is determined by its $\mathcal{M}$-cohomology.

**Proof.** Fix $\mathcal{X}$ a 2-complete topological space having the homotopy type of a CW-complex and $\mathcal{M}$-comparable to $BD_{2^n}$.

For $n = 2$, $D_4 \cong \mathbb{Z}/2 \times \mathbb{Z}/2$ and the result follows from Proposition 2.6.

Assume that $n \geq 3$. Then we should give a map $\phi_n: \mathcal{X} \to BD_{2^n}$ inducing an isomorphism in cohomology up to degree 2. Consider the tower of principal fibrations in Equation (4), where each $\pi_k$ corresponds to the central extension:

$$1 \to \mathbb{Z}/2 \to D_{2^{k+1}} \to D_{2^k} \to 1$$

classified either by $X^2 + XY$ if $k = 2$ or by $W$ when $k > 2$.

Consider $\phi_2: \mathcal{X} \to BD_4$, a map representing the classes $X$ and $Y$. The composite

$$\mathcal{X} \xrightarrow{\phi_2} BD_4 \xrightarrow{X^2+XY} K(\mathbb{Z}/2, 2)$$

is nullhomotopic, so $\phi_2$ factors as a composition $\pi_2 \circ \phi_3$, with $\phi_3: \mathcal{X} \to BD_8$.

Now, if we assume that $\phi_k: \mathcal{X} \to BD_{2^k}$ inducing the identity in $H^1$ is defined, this map will extend to a map $\phi_{k+1}: \mathcal{X} \to BD_{2^{k+1}}$ if and only if $\phi_k^*(W) = 0$. Using Lemmas A.4 and 5.1, and the fact that $(2^k - 2)$-fold iterated Massey products must fulfill

$$\phi_k^*(\langle X, X + Y, X, X + Y, \cdots \rangle_{H^*(BD_{2^k})}) \subset \langle X, X + Y, X, X + Y, \cdots \rangle_{H^*(\mathcal{X})}$$

we get that $\phi_k^*(W) = 0$ for all $k < n$ (the subscripts in the formula indicate the algebra where the $(2^k - 2)$-fold iterated Massey products are considered). So, the map $\phi_k$ extends to $\phi_{k+1}: \mathcal{X} \to BD_{2^{k+1}}$ for $k < n$.

It remains to check the last step: if $\phi_n(W) = 0$, then it extends to $\phi_{n+1}$. Such a map $\phi_{n+1}: \mathcal{X} \to BD_{2^{n+1}}$ inducing the identity in $H^1$ cannot exist because the $2^{n+1}$-fold iterated Massey product $\langle X, X + Y, X, X + Y, \cdots \rangle$ is defined in $H^*(BD_{2^{n+1}})$ and it is not defined in $H^*(\mathcal{X})$ by Lemma A.4.

So $\phi_n^*(W) \neq 0$, and by Lemma 5.1, $\phi_n$ is an isomorphism in cohomology and $\mathcal{X} \simeq BD_{2^n}$. \hfill $\square$
5.2. Quaternion groups.

**Lemma 5.3.** Consider the notation in Equation (3) for the cohomology of the dihedral and quaternion groups. Let \( X \) be an space \( \mathcal{M} \)-comparable to \( BQ_{2n} \), and \( \phi : X \rightarrow BD_{2r} \) be a map such that \( \phi^*(X) = X \) and \( \phi^*(Y) = Y \). Then \( \phi^*(W) = 0 \) or \( \phi^*(W) = Y^2 \).

**Proof.** Recall \( \{X^2, Y^2\} \) is a basis of \( H^2(X) \). Then there are \( a, b \in \mathbb{F}_2 \) such that \( \phi^*(W) = aX^2 + bY^2 \). If we apply \( Sq^1 \) to both sides of the identity we get \( aX^2Y = 0 \) (notice \( Y^3 = 0 \) in \( H^*(X) \)), getting the desired result.

**Theorem 5.4.** \( BQ_{2n} \) is determined by its \( \mathcal{M} \)-cohomology.

**Proof.** Let \( X \) be a 2-complete space having the homotopy type of a CW-complex and \( \mathcal{M} \)-comparable to \( BQ_{2n} \).

We must consider the cases \( n = 3 \) and \( n \neq 3 \) separately.

Consider \( Q_8 \) and its cohomology as in Equations (2) and (3). Let \( \phi_2 : X \rightarrow B(\mathbb{Z}/2 \times \mathbb{Z}/2) \) be the map representing the elements \( X \) and \( Y \) in cohomology. Such a map factors through \( \phi_3 : X \rightarrow Q_8 \) because \( \phi_3^*(X^2 + XY + Y^2) = 0 \), so we have a map \( \phi_3 \) inducing the identity in \( H^1 \). Use now Lemma 4.4 to get that it must be an isomorphism in cohomology, so a homotopy equivalence.

Assume now \( n \geq 4 \) and that \( X \) is a \( \mathbb{F}_2 \)-complete space \( \mathcal{M} \)-comparable to \( BQ_{2n} \). Let \( \phi_2 : X \rightarrow B(\mathbb{Z}/2 \times \mathbb{Z}/2) \) be the map representing the elements \( X \) and \( Y \) in cohomology. Consider the tower of principal fibrations

\[
\cdots \rightarrow BD_{2^{k+1}} \overset{\pi_{k+1}}{\rightarrow} BD_{2^{k-1}} \overset{\pi_{k-1}}{\rightarrow} \cdots \overset{\pi_3}{\rightarrow} BD_8 \overset{\pi_2}{\rightarrow} B(\mathbb{Z}/2 \times \mathbb{Z}/2).
\]

Recall that the map \( \pi_2 \) is classified by the class \( X^2 + XY \) and each \( \pi_i \) for \( i \geq 3 \) is classified by \( W \).

Since \( \phi_2^*(X^2 + XY) = 0 \), \( \phi_2 \) lifts to \( \phi_3 : X \rightarrow BD_8 \), a map such that \( \phi_3^*(X) = X \) and \( \phi_3^*(Y) = Y \).

Assume now that \( k \geq 3 \) and \( \phi_k : X \rightarrow BD_{2n} \) such that \( \phi_k^*(X) = X \), \( \phi_k^*(Y) = Y \). We show that \( \phi_k^*(W) = 0 \) when \( k < n - 1 \), which implies that \( \phi_k \) lifts to \( \phi_{n-1} : X \rightarrow BD_{2^{n-1}} \) such that \( \phi_{n-1}^*(X) = X \), \( \phi_{n-1}^*(Y) = Y \).

If \( \phi_k^*(W) \neq 0 \), then \( \phi_k^*(W) = Y^2 \) by Lemma 5.3. This implies \( \phi_k^*(Y^2 + W) = 0 \) and there is a map \( \phi_{k+1} : X \rightarrow BQ_{2k+1} \) which is an isomorphism in cohomology up to degree 3. This implies, by Lemma 2.3, that both \( X \) and \( BQ_{2k+1} \) have the same iterated Massey products involving degree one elements. But by Lemma 4.3, as \( k + 1 < n \) there are iterated Massey products in \( H^*(X) \) which are not defined in \( H^*(BQ_{2k+1}) \), getting a contradiction to the assumption \( \phi_k^*(W) \neq 0 \).

It remains to see that \( \phi_{n-1} \) lifts to \( \phi_n : X \rightarrow BQ_{2n} \), i.e. that \( \phi_{n-1}(W) = Y^2 \): if \( \phi_{n-1}(W) \neq Y^2 \) then \( \phi_{n-1}(W) = 0 \) by Lemma 2.3, and we get a map \( \phi_n : X \rightarrow D_{2n} \). If such a \( \phi_n \) exists, using again by Lemma 2.3, there exists either a map \( \phi_{n+1} : X \rightarrow D_{2n+1} \) or a map \( \phi'_{n+1} : X \rightarrow Q_{2n+1} \), but neither \( \phi_{n+1} \) nor \( \phi'_{n+1} \) can exist because in the cohomology of both targets there are 2\( n \)-fold iterated Massey products of type \( \langle X, X + Y, X, \ldots, X + Y \rangle \) which are not defined in \( H^*(X) \) by Lemma 4.3, getting a contradiction.
This implies that we have a map $\phi_n: X \to BQ_{2n}$ which is the identity in cohomology till degree 3, and by Lemma 4.4, $\phi_n^*(V) = V$. Then $\phi_n$ is an isomorphism and $\phi_n$ is a homotopy equivalence.

**Remark 5.5.** Observe that iterated Massey products involving elements in degree greater than one cannot be avoided. Consider, as in [Ben92], $X$.

Observe that iterated Massey products involving elements in degree greater than one cannot be avoided. Consider, as in [Ben92], $X$.

Moreover, the iterated products of degree one elements are the same: all the information about the iterated Massey products of elements in degree one can be read in the three first steps of a minimal projective resolution of $F_2[Q_{2n}]$, and the three steps agree with the minimal projective resolution of $C^*(X, F_2)$. Finally, these are not homotopy equivalent spaces up to 2 completion because, for example, they have different homotopy groups.

### 5.3. Semidihedral groups

Consider $SD_{2n}$, a semidihedral group of order $2^n$ and its cohomology with the notation in Equations (2) and (3).

**Lemma 5.6.** Let $X$ be a topological space $\mathcal{M}$-comparable to $BSD_{2^n}$, and $\phi: X \to BD_{2^r}$ ($r \geq 3$) be a map such that $\phi^*(X) = X$ and $\phi^*(Y) = Y$. Then $\phi^*(W) = 0$ or $\phi^*(W) = X^2$.

**Proof.** Consider $\{X^2, Y^2\}$ as basis of $H^2(X)$ as $F_2$ vector space. Then there are $a$ and $b$ in $F_2$ such that $\phi^*(W) = aX^2 + bY^2$. If we apply $Sq^1$ to both sides of the identity we get $bY^3 = 0$, getting the desired result.

**Theorem 5.7.** $BSD_{2^n}$ is determined by its $\mathcal{M}$-cohomology.

**Proof.** Fix $X$ a 2-complete space having the homotopy type of a $CW$-complex and $\mathcal{M}$-comparable to $BSD_{2^n}$ ($n \geq 4$).

Consider $\phi_2: X \to BD_4$ a map representing $X$ and $Y$. As $\phi_2^*(X^2 + XY) = 0$, this map will factor through a map $\phi_3: X \to BD_8$ such that $\phi_3^*; H^1(BD_8) \to H^1(X)$ is the identity.

Assume now that we have a map $\phi_k: X \to BD_{2^k}$ which induces the identity in $H^1$ and with $k < n - 1$. Then, by Lemma 5.6, $\phi_k^*(W) = X^2$ or $\phi_k^*(W) = 0$.

In the first case, $\phi_k^*(W + X^2) = 0$, so there is a map $\tilde{\phi}_{k+1}: X \to BSD_{2^{k+1}}$ which is the identity in cohomology in degrees one and two. So, by Lemma 2.3, they must have the same iterated Massey products of degree one elements. But, by Lemma 4.3, if $k + 1 < n$ there are $2^{n-1}$-fold iterated Massey products which are defined in the cohomology of $X$, but not in $H^*(BSD_{2^{k+1}})$, and this contradicts Lemma 2.3.

So we are in the second case and we have a map $\phi_{k+1}: X \to BD_{2^{k+1}}$ inducing the identity in $H^1$. This procedure can be done up to $\phi_{n-1}: X \to BD_{2^{n-1}}$ inducing the identity in $H^1$. Again, by Lemma 5.6, $\phi_{n-1}^*(W) = 0$ or $\phi_{n-1}^*(W) = X^2$.

In the first case, we obtain a map $\tilde{\phi}_n: X \to BD_{2^n}$ inducing the identity in $H^1$. By the previous arguments such a map induces a map either $\tilde{\phi}_{n+1}: X \to BD_{2^{n+1}}$ or $\tilde{\phi}_{n+1}: X \to BSD_{2^{n+1}}$ inducing the identity in $H^1$, and this cannot happen because Lemmas 4.2 and 4.3 imply that there are iterated Massey products of degree one elements defined in $H^*(BD_{2^{n+1}})$ and $H^*(BSD_{2^{n+1}})$ which are not defined in $H^*(X)$. 

So $\phi_n^*(W) = X^2$ and we have a map $\phi_n : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow BSD_{2^n}$ inducing the identity in $H^1$. Now we use now Lemma 4.5 to see that it must be an isomorphism in cohomology, and therefore a homotopy equivalence: as $\phi_n^*([U, U + Y^3]) = \phi_n^*([X, X^2, Y]) \subset \langle X, X^2, Y \rangle = \{U, U + Y^3\}$ we get that either $\phi_n^*(U) = U$ or $\phi_n^*(U + Y^3) = U$, so $U$ is in the image of $\phi_n^*$. Using the same argument applied to $\langle X, X^2, X, X^2 \rangle = \{V, V + YU\}$ we get that $V$ is in the image of $\phi_n^*$. This implies that all generators are in the image, and, up to degree 4 all are finite dimensional vector spaces, so an epimorphism is an isomorphism. \[\square\]

**Appendix A. Representations of maximal nilpotency class 2-groups**

In this section we obtain an explicit minimal degree faithful representation of maximal nilpotency class 2-groups on $U(F_2, n)$ which will allow us to compute iterated Massey products of some degree one elements. The definition of the images of the generators of each group is defined using the matrices described below:

Consider $A_n$ the $2^n \times 2^n$ matrix defined inductively:

\[\begin{align*}
A_0 &= (1), & A_n &= \begin{pmatrix} A_{n-1} & A_{n-1} \\ 0 & A_{n-1} \end{pmatrix},
\end{align*}\]

where 0 means a matrix with all the entries equal zero.

Consider also the $2^n \times 2^n$-matrix $B_n$ with entries $b_{i,j}$:

\[b_{i,j} \overset{\text{def}}{=} \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if} \ i = j \text{ or } j = i + 1, \\
0 & \text{otherwise}. \end{cases}\]

Finally consider the $2^n \times 2^n$-matrix $C_n$ with entries $c_{i,j}$:

\[c_{i,j} \overset{\text{def}}{=} \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if} \ i = j, \\
1 & \text{if} \ i = 1 \text{ and } j = 2^n, \\
0 & \text{otherwise}. \end{cases}\]

Most of the proofs will be done by induction, so we need to express matrices $B_n$ and $C_n$ as a construction of $2^{n-1} \times 2^{n-1}$ matrices: define the $2^n \times 2^n$ matrix $\Delta_n$ as the matrix with all entries 0 but the entry in position $(1,2^n)$ which is 1. Then:

\[B_n = \begin{pmatrix} B_{n-1} & \Delta_{n-1}^T \\ 0 & B_{n-1} \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad C_n = \begin{pmatrix} \text{Id} & \Delta_{n-1} \\ 0 & \text{Id} \end{pmatrix},\]

where $\Delta_{n-1}^T$ means the transpose of $\Delta_{n-1}$ and $\text{Id}$ the identity matrix in the corresponding rank.

The following calculation gives the property needed to compute the iterated Massey products:

**Lemma A.1.** Let $B$ be a matrix in $\text{GL}_m(F_2)$ as defined in Equation (7) (now $m$ is not necessarily of the form $2^n$). Then:

(a) The order of $B$ is $2^n$, where $2^{n-1} < m \leq 2^n$.

(b) If $l$ is a positive integer such that $2^l < \text{Order}(B)$, then coefficients in the diagonal and in positions $(i, i + 2^l)$ in $B^{2^l}$ are equal to 1 (for $i$ from 1 to $m - 2^l$) and 0 in all other positions.
Proof. \( B \) is the sum of the identity \( \text{Id} \) and a nilpotent matrix \( N \). Coefficients of \( N^a \) are equal to 0 in positions \((i, k)\) for \( k \neq i+a \), and equal to 1 in positions \((i, i+a)\). In particular, \( N^a \) is not 0 for \( a < m \) and is 0 for \( a \geq m \). Now, as \( \text{Id} \) and \( N \) commute, we can compute \((\text{Id} + N)^l\) using the mod 2 binomial formula. In particular, \( B^{2l} = (\text{Id} + N)^2l = \text{Id} + N^{2l} \). Use the description of \( N^a \) described just before and we get (b). Moreover this tells us that \( B^{2^n} = \text{Id} \) and that \( B^{2^n-1} \neq \text{Id} \), where \( n \) is the one considered in (a), and we get the desired result. \( \square \)

Lemma A.2. Fix \( n \geq 1 \). The matrices \( A_n, B_n \) and \( C_n \) defined in Equations (6), (7) and (8) have the following properties:

(a) \( A_n^2 = \text{Id} \),
(b) \( A_n \Delta_n^T A_n \) is a matrix with all entries equal to 1,
(c) \( A_n B_n A_n \) has all the entries over the diagonal equal to 1.
(d) \( (A_n B_n)^2 = \text{Id} \),
(e) the first row of \( A_n B_n \) is equal to the vector \((1 \ 0 \ \cdots \ 0)\),
(f) \( C_n \) is in the center of the invertible upper triangular matrices, so, in particular, commute with \( A_n \) and \( B_n \).

Proof. (a) This can be seen by induction on \( n \) and the fact that all coefficients are taken in \( \mathbb{F}_2 \): the case \( n = 0 \) follows directly, and assume the result is true up to \( n - 1 \). Then:

\[
A_n^2 = \left( \begin{array}{c|c} A_{n-1} & A_{n-1} \\ \hline 0 & A_{n-1} \end{array} \right)^2 = \left( \begin{array}{c|c} A_{n-1}^2 & 2A_{n-1} \\ \hline 0 & A_{n-1}^2 \end{array} \right) = \text{Id},
\]

where we are using that, as we are working in \( \mathbb{F}_2 \), \( 2A_{n-1} = 0 \).

(b) This can proved by induction, proceeding as in (a) and using that:

\[
\Delta_n^T = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \Delta_{n-1}^T \end{pmatrix},
\]

where, in this case, 0 is the \( 2^{n-1} \times 2^{n-1} \) matrix with all entries equal 0.

(c) Here we can also prove it by induction: the case \( n = 0 \) is direct. Assume the result is true up to \( n - 1 \), then:

\[
A_n B_n A_n = \left( \begin{array}{c|c} A_{n-1} B_{n-1} A_{n-1} & A_{n-1} \Delta_{n-1}^T A_{n-1} \\ \hline 0 & A_{n-1} B_{n-1} A_{n-1} \end{array} \right).
\]

We can use now the induction hypothesis and (b) to get the result.

(d) We can write \((A_n B_n)^2 = (A_n B_n A_n) B_n \) and use (c): the position \((i, j)\) of the result of this multiplication is the sum of all entries in the column \( j \) of \( B_n \) from row \( i \) on, so this is 1 in the diagonal, and 0 in all other positions, either because we are adding 0 or two 1, which is 0 in \( \mathbb{F}_2 \).

(e) Observe that the first row of \( A_n \) has all coefficients equal 1, so the first row of \( A_n B_n \) is, in each position, the sum of all the entries in the corresponding column, so 1 in the first position and 0 in the other.

(f) If \( U \) is an invertible upper triangular \( 2^n \times 2^n \)-matrix, the matrix \( UC_n \) has the same entries as \( U \) but the last element of the first row, which changes by +1. Multiplying \( C_n U \) then we have to add 1 to the first element of the last column, getting the same result. \( \square \)
Now we will use the matrices $A_n$, $B_n$ and $C_n$ to construct the following $(2^n + 1) \times (2^n + 1)$ matrices:

$$x_n \overset{\text{def}}{=} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & & & & \\ \vdots &  & B_n & & \\ 0 & & & & \end{pmatrix}, \quad y_n \overset{\text{def}}{=} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & & & \\ \vdots & & & & A_n \\ 0 & & & & \end{pmatrix},$$

$$z_n \overset{\text{def}}{=} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & & & & & & & \vdots & A_{n-1} & A_{n-1}C_n^{-1} \\ 0 & & & & & & & \vdots & 0 & A_{n-1} \\ 0 & & & & & & & \vdots & 0 & A_{n-1}^{-1} \\ 0 & & & & & & & \vdots & 0 & A_{n-1}^{-1} \\ 0 & & & & & & & \cdots & 0 & A_{n-1}^{-1} \\ 0 & & & & & & & \cdots & 0 & A_{n-1}^{-1} \\ 0 & & & & & & & \cdots & 0 & A_{n-1}^{-1} \\ 0 & & & & & & & \cdots & 0 & A_{n-1}^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and}$$

$$t_n \overset{\text{def}}{=} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & & & & & & & \vdots & A_{n-1} & A_{n-1}C_n^{-1} \\ 0 & & & & & & & \vdots & 0 & A_{n-1}^{-1} \\ 0 & & & & & & & \vdots & 0 & A_{n-1}^{-1} \\ 0 & & & & & & & \cdots & 0 & A_{n-1}^{-1} \\ 0 & & & & & & & \cdots & 0 & A_{n-1}^{-1} \\ 0 & & & & & & & \cdots & 0 & A_{n-1}^{-1} \\ 0 & & & & & & & \cdots & 0 & A_{n-1}^{-1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

**Lemma A.3.** The $(2^n + 1) \times (2^n + 1)$ matrices $x_n$, $y_n$, $z_n$ and $t_n$ defined above have the following properties:

(a) $x_n$ has order $2^{n+1}$,
(b) $y_n$ has order 2,
(c) $y_n x_n y_n = x_n^{-1}$,
(d) $z_n^2 = x_n^{2^n}$, in particular $z_n$ has order 4,
(e) $z_n x_n z_n^{-1} = x_n^{-1}$,
(f) $t_n$ has order 2 and
(g) $t_n x_n t_n^{-1} = x_n^{2^{n-1}}$.

So $x_n$ and $y_n$ generate a subgroup isomorphic to $D_{2^{n+2}}$; $x_n$ and $z_n$ a subgroup isomorphic to $Q_{2^{n+2}}$, and $x_n$ and $t_n$ a subgroup isomorphic to $SD_{2^{n+2}}$.

**Proof.**
(a) The order of $x_n$ has been computed in Lemma A.1.
(b) This is a direct computation using Lemma A.2 (a).
(c) This is equivalent to show that $(y_n x_n)^2 = \text{Id}$. We have

$$(y_n x_n)^2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & & & \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & & & \end{pmatrix}^2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & (A_n B_n)^2 \end{pmatrix} = \text{Id},$$

where we have used Lemma A.2 (e) in the second equality to get zeros in the first row, and Lemma A.2 (c) gives us the last step.
(d) The computation of $x_n^{2^n}$ has been done in Lemma A.1:

$$x_n^{2^n} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & \text{Id} \end{pmatrix},$$

A direct calculation gives us the expression:

$$z_n^2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & A_{n-1}^2 & A_{n-1}^2 C_{n-1} + A_{n-1} C_{n-1} A_{n-1} \\ 0 & 0 & A_{n-1}^2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Apply now Lemma A.2 (a) to obtain the identity in the diagonal and Lemma A.2 (e) to get $A_{n-1}^2 C_{n-1} + A_{n-1} C_{n-1} A_{n-1} = C_{n-1} + C_{n-1} = 0$.

(e) Using (b) and (c) we have to prove that $z_n x_n z_n^{-1} = y_n^{-1} x_n y_n$, which is the same as prove $(y_n z_n) x_n = x_n (y_n z_n)$. Direct computations show us the following results:

$$y_n z_n = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \text{Id} & \Delta_{n-1} \\ 0 & 0 & \text{Id} \end{pmatrix},$$

where we have used that $C_{n-1} + \text{Id} = \Delta_{n-1}$.

Observe now that, as $C_{n-1}$ and $\text{Id}$ commute with $B_{n-1}$, so does $\Delta_{n-1}$, and use it to check:

$$(y_n z_n) x_n = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & B_{n-1} & \Delta_{n-1}^T + \Delta_{n-1} B_{n-1} \\ 0 & 0 & B_{n-1} \end{pmatrix} = x_n (y_n z_n).$$

(f) The computation of $t_n^2$ is analogue to the computation of $z_n^2$ with the first row as the identity matrix, getting $t_n^2 = \text{Id}$.

(g) The statement is equivalent to show that $(t_n x_n)^2 = x_n^{2^n}$. Multiplying directly the matrices we have:

$$t_n x_n = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & A_{n-1} B_{n-1} \\ 0 & 0 & A_{n-1} \Delta_{n-1}^T + A_{n-1} C_{n-1} B_{n-1} \\ 0 & 0 & B_{n-1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Now use almost the same computations as in the proof of (c) to show that $(t_n x_n)^2$ has the same entries as $x_n^{2^n}$: we use that the first row of $A_{n-1} \Delta_{n-1}^T$ is $(1 \cdots 0)$, and that $A_{n-1} C_{n-1} B_{n-1} = A_{n-1} B_{n-1} C_{n-1}$, so by Lemma A.2 (e) and the multiplication by $C_{n-1}$, the first row of $A_{n-1} C_{n-1} B_{n-1}$ is $(1 \cdots 01)$. So the sum of these two vectors give the same entries as the first row of $x_n^{2^n}$.

□

Now we want to check that the previous representations of the dihedral, quaternion and semidihedral groups are of minimal rank. To check this we will compute the exponent of a Sylow 2-subgroup of a general linear group over $\mathbb{F}_2$.

**Lemma A.4.** Let $M$ be an element of order $2^n$ in $\text{GL}_m(\mathbb{F}_2)$. Then $2^{n-1} < m$. Moreover, there exists an element of order $2^n$ in the linear group $\text{GL}_{2^{n-1}+1}(\mathbb{F}_2)$. 
Proof. Let $M$ be an element of order $2^n$. Then $M$ satisfies the polynomial $X^{2^n} - 1$. As we are in characteristic 2 we get $X^{2^n} - 1 = (X - 1)^{2^n}$. The minimal polynomial of $M$ must be of the form $(X - 1)^r$, and the characteristic polynomial must be $(X - 1)^m$, with $r \leq m$. If $2^n - 1 \geq r$, then $M$ would satisfy the polynomial $(X - 1)^{2^n-1} = (X^{2^n-1} - 1)$, so the order of $M$ would be at most $2^n-1$. So we get $2^n-1 < r \leq m$.

Finally, the element $x_{n-1}$ in Lemma A.3 is of order $2^n$ in $\text{GL}_{2^n-1}(\mathbb{F}_2)$.

\section*{Appendix B. Projective resolutions and the Yoneda cocomplex}

\subsection*{B.1. Quaternion groups.}

We can find a projective resolution of $Q_{2^n}$ in [CE56, pp. 253], but before giving it we need some notation. Recall from Equation (2) the notation for the generators and cohomology of the quaternion groups:

$$Q_{2^n} = \langle x, z \mid x^{2^n-1} = 1, z^2 = x^{2^n-2}, xzx^{-1} = x^{-1} \rangle.$$

Consider the following elements in the group algebra $\mathbb{F}_2[Q_{2^n}]$:

\begin{align*}
I &= 1 + x, \quad J = 1 + z, \quad K = 1 + xz, \\
L &= 1 + x + x^2 + \cdots + x^{2n-2}, \\
N_x &= 1 + x + x^2 + \cdots + x^{2n-2}, \\
N &= \sum_{g \in Q_{2^n}} g.
\end{align*}

\textbf{Lemma B.1.} Consider the elements $I, J, K, L, N_x$ and $N$ defined above. Then we have the following relations:

$$L = I^{2n-2} - 1, \quad N_x = I^{2n-2} - 1, \quad J^2 = K^2, \quad I^{2n} - J^4 = K^4 = 0,$$

$$KI = IJ, \quad K = I + J + IJ \quad \text{and} \quad N = JN_x = N_xJ = KN_x = N_xK.$$

Proof. Recall that, as we are taking coefficients in $\mathbb{F}_2$, $(1 + u)^{2^n} = 1 + u^{2^n}$ and $(1 + u)^{2n-1} = 1 + u + \cdots + u^{2^n-1}$. So using this and the order of the elements $x$, $z$ and $xz$ in $Q_{2^n}$ we get all the equalities in the first row. The first to equalities of the second row can be computed directly. The last equality can be deduced from the fact that all elements in $Q_{2^n}$ can be written as $x^iz^j$, for $0 \leq i \leq 2^n-1$ and $0 \leq \varepsilon \leq 1$ in just one way, so all elements appear as a summand in the products $JN_x, N_xJ, KN_x$ and $N_xK$.

The following result can be found in [CE56, pp. 253] and we include it here to formulate it using the elements in Equation (10):

\textbf{Lemma B.2.} A projective resolution of $\mathbb{F}_2$ as $\mathbb{F}_2[Q_{2^n}]$-module is given by the following periodic data:

$$\mathbb{F}_2 \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} P_0 \xrightarrow{\partial_1} P_1 \xrightarrow{\partial_2} P_2 \xrightarrow{\partial_3} P_3 \xrightarrow{\partial_4} P_4 \xrightarrow{\partial_5} P_5 \cdots$$

where $P_{4i} \cong P_{4i+3} \cong \mathbb{F}_2[Q_{2^n}]$ and $P_{4i+1} \cong P_{4i+2} \cong \mathbb{F}_2[Q_{2^n}]^2 = \mathbb{F}_2[Q_{2^n}] \oplus \mathbb{F}_2[Q_{2^n}]$ and the differentials: $\partial_{4i+1} = (I \quad J)$, $\partial_{4i+2} = (1 \quad K)$, $\partial_{4i+3} = (1 \quad K)$ and $\partial_{4i} = (N)$.

Now we want to express the generators in the cohomology of quaternion groups as a cochain maps in the Yoneda cocomplex. We refer the interested reader to [Bor01] for the user of the Yoneda cocomplex in group cohomology.

Recall the cohomology of the Quaternion groups from Equation (3):

$$H^*(BQ_8) \cong \mathbb{F}_2[X, Y, V]/(X^2 + XY + Y^2, X^2Y + XY^2)$$

and

$$H^*(BQ_{2^n}) \cong \mathbb{F}_2[X, Y, V]/(X^2 + XY, Y^3) \quad \text{for} \ n \geq 4,$$

with $\deg(X) = \deg(Y) = 1$ and $\deg(V) = 4$. 
Lemma B.3. The generators $X$, $Y$ and $V$ in $H^*(BQ_{2^n})$ can be represented in the Yoneda cocomplex by the following cochain maps:

- The generator $X$ as the cochain map $X_i: P_{i+1} \to P_i$ defined as (to cover the case $Q_8$ here we are using the convention $I^0 = 1$):
  \[
  X_{4i} = (1 0), \quad X_{4i+1} = \begin{pmatrix} r^{2^{n-2}} & 1 \\ 0 & 1+I \end{pmatrix}, \\
  X_{4i+2} = (1) \quad \text{and} \quad X_{4i+3} = (I^{2^{n-1}-2}J).
  \]

- The element $Y$ in $H^1(BQ_{2^n})$ by a cochain map $Y_i: P_{i+1} \to P_i$ defined as:
  \[
  Y_{4i} = (0 \quad 1), \quad Y_{4i+1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad Y_{4i+2} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad Y_{4i+3} = (N_x).
  \]

- Finally the element $V \in H^4(BQ_{2^n})$ by a cochain map defined by $V_i: P_{i+4} \to P_i$ which is the identity.

Proof. We have that the projective resolution from Lemma B.2 is minimal, in the sense that $P_i \cong \mathbb{F}_2[Q_{2^n}]^{\dim(H^i(Q_{2^n}))}$, so all $\mathbb{F}_2[Q_{2^n}]$-morphisms $E: P_1 \to \mathbb{F}_2$ must lift to maps $E_i$ to give the following commutative diagram

\[
\begin{array}{cccccccc}
\mathbb{F}_2 & \xleftarrow{\epsilon} & P_0 & \xleftarrow{\partial_1} & P_1 & \xleftarrow{\partial_2} & P_2 & \xleftarrow{\partial_3} & P_3 & \xleftarrow{\partial_4} & P_4 & \cdots \\
| & | & | & | & | & | & | & | & | & | & \\
E & \xleftarrow{E_0} & E_1 & \xleftarrow{E_2} & E_3 & \xleftarrow{E_4} & \cdots
\end{array}
\]

As $P_1 = \mathbb{F}_2[Q_{2^n}]^2$ we can start looking for the lifting of the $\mathbb{F}_2[Q_{2^n}]$-linear map $\tilde{X} \defeq (1 \quad 0)$ (respectively $\tilde{Y} \defeq (0 \quad 1)$). Observe that the map $X_j: P_{j+1} \to P_j$ (respectively $Y_j: P_{j+1} \to P_j$) defined in the statement is indeed a lifting for $\tilde{X}$ (respectively $\tilde{Y}$). This fact can be checked multiplying the matrices $\partial_*$ defined in Lemma B.2 and $X_*$ (respectively $Y_*$) defined in the statement. To get the equality of both sides one has to apply the relations given in Lemma B.1.

As elements in $H^1(Q_{2^n})$ we can compute the multiplication involving $\tilde{X}$ and $\tilde{Y}$ composing the cochain maps. Doing that we will get that $\tilde{X}^2 + \tilde{X}\tilde{Y} = 0$ and $\tilde{Y}^3 = 0$, obtaining that $\tilde{X}$ (respectively $\tilde{Y}$) can be took as a representative of $X$ in the Yoneda cocomplex (respectively $Y$).

Finally a non-zero element in $H^4(Q_{2^n})$ which will represent $V$ is a lifting of $(1): P_4 \to \mathbb{F}_2$, and, as $P_{i+4} \cong P_i$ and the differential are also periodic, we can take the identity. \hfill \square

B.2. Semidihedral groups. In this subsection we will proceed in a similar way as the previous one. The main difference with the quaternion family comes from the fact that, for semidihedral groups, there is not such a nice projective resolution.

Recall from Equation (2) a finite presentation for the semidihedral groups:

\[
SD_{2^n} = \langle x, t \mid x^{2^{n-1}} = 1, t^2 = 1, txt^{-1} = x^{2^{n-2}-1} \rangle.
\]

Consider the following elements in the group algebra $\mathbb{F}_2[SD_{2^n}]$:

\[
I = 1 + x, \quad J = 1 + t, \quad L = 1 + x + x^2 + \cdots + x^{2^{n-2}-2} \quad \text{and} \quad N_x = 1 + x + x^2 + \cdots + x^{2^{n-1}-1}.
\]
The following equalities will be used in the computation of the generators in the Yoneda cocomplex and their products.

**Lemma B.4.** Consider the elements $I$, $J$, $L$ and $N_x$ defined above. Then we have the following relations:

(a) $L = I^{2^n-2} + x 2^{2^n-2} - 1$, $I^{2^n-1} = J^2 = 0$,
(b) $(1 + tL)I = JJ$, $(1 + tL^n)I = I(1 + tL^{n-1})$,
(c) $tN_x = N_xt$, $tI^{2^n-1-2} = I^{2^n-1-2}t$,
(d) $I^{2^n-2}t = tI^{2^n-2}$,
(e) $(1 + tL^2)^2 = 0$, $(1 + tL^{2i+1})^2 = N_x$ and $L^{2^n-1} = 1$.

**Proof.** (a) One proceeds as the proof of the first part of Lemma B.1.
(b) This can be done directly. As example we do the first equality: $IJ = (1 + x)(1 + t) = 1 + x + t + xt = 1 + x + t + tx^{2^n-2} - 1$ and $(1 + tL)I = (1 + t + tx + \cdots tx^{2^n-2})(1 + x) = 1 + x + t + tx^{2^n-2}$, where in the last equality we are using that when we expand the product most of the summands cancel in $\mathbb{F}_2$.
(c) In the first equality, we use the commutation rules between $t$ and $x$ in the presentation of $SD_{2^n}$. In the second the fact that $I^{2^n-1-2}$ is the sum of all possible even powers of $x$ (including $x^0 = 1$) and the commutation rules between $t$ and $x$ preserve the exponent of $x$ modulo 2.
(d) The element $I^{2^n-2}$ is the sum of all even powers of $x$ up to degree $2^n-2$. The commutation rule between $x$ and $t$ adds $2^n-2$ to the exponent of $x$ and changes the sign, so at the end we have the same exponents.
(e) The first two equalities use the equality, in characteristic 2, $(1 + u)^2 = 1 + u^2$ in each case, and applies the commutation rules between $x$ and $t$ to get the desired result. The last statement follows also from the same equality in $\mathbb{F}_2$: $L^{2^n-1} = 1 + x^{2^n-1} + (x^2)^{2^n-1} + \cdots + (x^{2^n-2})^{2^n-1}$ and as $(x^i)^{2^{n-1}} = 1$ we get the sum of an odd number of 1, so $L^{2^n-1} = 1$. \hfill $\Box$

We can find a projective resolution for these family of groups in [Wal61]:

**Lemma B.5.** A projective resolution of $\mathbb{F}_2$ as $\mathbb{F}_2[SD_{2^n}]$-module is given by:

$$\mathbb{F}_2 \leftarrow P_0 \xleftarrow{\partial_1} P_1 \xleftarrow{\partial_2} P_2 \xleftarrow{\partial_3} P_3 \xleftarrow{\partial_4} P_4 \xleftarrow{\partial_5} P_5 \cdots$$

where $P_i \cong \mathbb{F}_2[SD_{2^n}]^{i+1} = \mathbb{F}_2[SD_{2^n}] \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{F}_2[SD_{2^n}]$ and the differentials defined inductively: $\partial_1 = (I \ J)$,

$$\partial_{2i} = \begin{pmatrix} N_x & 1 + tL^i & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & & & \partial_{2i-1} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$\partial_{2i+1} = \begin{pmatrix} I & 1 + tL^i & i & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & & & \partial_{2i} & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$
where $I$, $J$, $L$ and $N_x$ are the ones defined in Equation (11).

Now we need the cohomology of $SD_{2n}$, which has been introduced in Equation (3):

$$H^*(BSD_{2n}) \cong \mathbb{F}_2[X,Y,U,V]/(X^2 + XY, XU, X^3, U^2 + (X^2 + Y^2)V),$$

with $\deg(X) = \deg(Y) = 1$, $\deg(U) = 3$ and $\deg(V) = 4$.

We proceed now giving cochain maps representing the generators. This free resolutions does not allow us to give inductive formulas, but as we are just interested in 4-fold iterated Massey products of elements in degrees 1 and 2 we just need to give the first 4 steps of the maps.

Before giving the element we fix the following basis of this cohomology as a graded vector space in low degrees: \{X, Y\} in degree one, \{X^2, Y^2\} in degree two, \{U, Y^3\} in degree three and \{Y^4, YU, V\} in degree four.

**Lemma B.6.** The representing cochain maps in the Yoneda cocomplex of the generators $X$, $Y$, $U$ and $V$ in $H^*(BSD_{2n})$ are characterized by the following data:

- The element $X \in H^1(BSD_{2n})$ can be taken as the a cochain morphism $X_i: P_{i+1} \rightarrow P_i$ with

  $$X_0 = (1 \ 0), \quad X_1 = \begin{pmatrix} I^{2n-1-2} & t(L + I^{2n-2-2}) & 0 \\ 0 & X_0 \
  \end{pmatrix}, \quad X_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & t(L + I^{2n-2-2}) & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & X_1 
  \end{pmatrix}$$

  and

  $$X_3 = \begin{pmatrix} I^{2n-1-2} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & X_2
  \end{pmatrix}.$$

- The element $Y \in H^1(BSD_{2n})$ can be taken as a cochain map $Y_i: P_{i+1} \rightarrow P_i$ with $Y_i = (0|\text{Id}_{i+1})$ where $\text{Id}_{i+1}$ is the $(i + 1) \times (i + 1)$ identity matrix.

- Either $U$ or $U + Y^3$ is represented by a lifting of the map from $P^3$ to $\mathbb{F}_2$ given by the matrix $(1000)$.

- Either $V$ or $YU$ can be taken as a lifting of the map from $P^4$ to $\mathbb{F}_2$ given by the matrix $(10000)$.

**Proof.** Here we give all the arguments which are used in the proof, but, for the sake of clarity, avoiding explicit computations. The computations we avoid can be checked directly using the relations in Lemma B.4.

The elements in $H^1(BSD_{2n})$ are determined by $\mathbb{F}_2[Q_{2n}]$-morphisms $P_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_2$. As $P_1 = \mathbb{F}_2[SD_{2n}] = \dim(H^1(BSD_{2n}))$ both morphisms given by matrices (10) and (01) must lift. The statement in the Lemma tells us which is one of the lifting for each one ($X_i$ and $Y_i$ respectively). Now we can decide which one is $X$ and $Y$ in $H^*(BSD_{2n})$ checking, for example, the relation $X^3 = 0$.

The elements in $H^3(BSD_{2n})$ are determined by $\mathbb{F}_2[Q_{2n}]$-morphisms $P_3 \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_2$ which can be lifted to cochain maps, and we can write them as matrices $1 \times 4$. Using the previous
representatives $Y^3$ is determined by $(0001)$, $X^3 = X^2Y = XY^2$ (which is a coboundary) is represented by $(0010)$. Observe that $(0100)$ cannot be lifted, so it does not represent any element in $H^3(\text{BSD}_{2^n})$. So it remains $(1000)$, which can be lifted and, taking into account the dimension of the cohomology in degree 3, it can be considered as a representative for $U$ or $U + Y^3$.

The same arguments work for detecting which can be $V$ as a $\mathbb{F}_2[Q_{2^n}]$-morphism from $P_4 \to \mathbb{F}_2$: $(00001)$ determines $Y^4$, $(00010)$ is a coboundary, $(00100)$ cannot be lifted, $(01000)$ determines $YU$, so $V$ (or $V + YU$) can be taken as $(10000)$. □
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