## ON $H^{P}$-SOLUTIONS OF THE BEZOUT EQUATION

Eric Amar, Joaquim Bruna and Artur Nicolau

We obtain a sufficient condition on bounded holomorphic functions $g_{1}, g_{2}$ in the unit disk for the existence of $f_{1}, f_{2}$ in the Hardy space $H^{p}$ such that $1=f_{1} g_{1}+f_{2} g_{2}$. The sharpness of this condition is also studied.

1. Let $\mathbb{D}$ be the unit disk in the complex plane, $\mathbb{T}$ its boundary. For $1 \leq$ $p \leq \infty, H^{p}$ denotes the Hardy-space of holomorphic functions in $\mathbb{D}$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|f\|_{p} & =\sup _{r}\left(\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|f\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)\right|^{p} d \theta\right)^{1 / p}<+\infty \quad p<\infty \\
\|f\|_{\infty} & =\sup _{|z|<1}|f(z)|
\end{aligned}
$$

It is well-known ([7, p. 57]) that if $f \in H^{p}$, the non-tangential maximal function

$$
M f\left(e^{i \theta}\right)=\sup \{|f(z)| ; z \in \Gamma(\theta)\}
$$

$\Gamma(\theta)$ being the Stolz angle with vertex $e^{i \theta}$, belongs to $L^{p}(\mathbb{T})$.
In this paper, given $g_{1}, g_{2} \in H^{\infty}$, we study the Bezout equation $1=$ $f_{1} g_{1}+f_{2} g_{2}$. Concretely, we are interested in knowing the precise condition on $g_{1}, g_{2}$ so that solutions $f_{1}, f_{2} \in H^{p}$ exist.

If $|g|^{2}=\left|g_{1}\right|^{2}+\left|g_{2}\right|^{2},|f|^{2}=\left|f_{1}\right|^{2}+\left|f_{2}\right|^{2}$, it follows from $1=f_{1} g_{1}+f_{2} g_{2}$ that $1 \leq|f||g|$ and hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
M\left(|g|^{-1}\right) \in L^{p}(\mathbb{T}) \tag{C}
\end{equation*}
$$

It can be easily seen that this condition is sufficient if $g_{1}$ or $g_{2}$ is an interpolating Blaschke product. Nevertheless, we show in Section 2 that it is not sufficient in general. In fact for each $\varepsilon>0$ we exhibit $g_{1}, g_{2} \in H^{\infty}$ such that $M\left(|g|^{-2+\varepsilon}\right) \in L^{p}(\mathbb{T})$ but no $H^{p}$ solutions exist.

In Section 3 we obtain a general sufficient condition implying in particular the following:

Theorem 1. Assume that for some $\varepsilon>0$

$$
M\left(|g|^{-2}|\log | g \|^{2+\varepsilon}\right) \in L^{p}(\mathbb{T})
$$

Then there exist $f_{1}, f_{2} \in H^{p}$ such that $1=f_{1} g_{1}+f_{2} g_{2}$.
In Section 4, it is shown that the same method gives the following improvement on the problem considered by Wolff and also by Cegrell in [4].

Theorem 2. Let $f, g_{1}, g_{2} \in H^{\infty}$ be such that

$$
|f| \leq \frac{|g|^{2}}{|\log | g| |^{2+\varepsilon}} \quad \text { for some } \quad \varepsilon>0
$$

Then there are $f_{1}, f_{2} \in H^{\infty}$ such that $f=f_{1} g_{1}+f_{2} g_{2}$.
The proofs rely essentially on: (a) An $L^{p}$-version of Wolff's criteria for the existence of bounded solutions of the $\bar{\partial}$-equation, already used in [1]. (b) An improvement of Cegrell's result in [4] on gradients of bounded holomorphic functions.

Both theorems hold of course for more than two generators, using the Koszul complex technique as in [7, p. 364]. Theorem 1 holds as well in the setting of the unit ball, but some modifications are needed (see [2]).

Finally, we mention that similar results to those stated here have been independently obtained by K.C. Lin in [8] and [9]. The authors thank the referee for pointing this out to them.
2. Before proceeding, we recall that a positive measure $\mu$ on $\mathbb{D}$ is called a Carleson measure if there exists $K>0$ such that

$$
\mu\left(\left\{z:\left|z-e^{i \theta}\right| \leq r\right\}\right) \leq K r \quad e^{i \theta} \in \mathbb{T}, \quad r>0
$$

The smallest of such $K$ is called the Carleson norm of $\mu$. Equivalently ([7, p. 32]) $\mu$ is a Carleson measure if and only if for all functions $h$ in $\mathbb{D}$

$$
\iint_{\mathbb{D}}|h| d \mu \leq c \int_{0}^{2 \pi} M(h) d \theta
$$

In some particular cases it is quite easy to see that the condition (C) is sufficient. For instance, if $g_{1}$ is a Blaschke product with zeros $z_{n}$, the question is obviously equivalent to the interpolation problem

$$
f_{2}\left(z_{n}\right)=\frac{1}{g_{2}\left(z_{n}\right)}, \quad \text { with } \quad f_{2} \in H^{p}
$$

Indeed, $1-f_{2} g_{2}$ belongs then to $H^{p}$ and vanishes on $\left\{z_{n}\right\}$, so $1-f_{2} g_{2}=f_{1} g_{1}$, $f_{1} \in H^{p}$. In case $g_{1}$ is an interpolating Blaschke product, this interpolation problem has a solution if and only if

$$
\sum_{n} \frac{1}{\left|g_{2}\left(z_{n}\right)\right|^{p}}\left(1-\left|z_{n}\right|\right)<+\infty
$$

(see $[\mathbf{1 0}]$ and also [5]). Let $\delta_{n}$ denote the delta-mass at the point $z_{n}$. Since $\sum\left(1-\left|z_{n}\right|\right) \delta_{n}$ is a Carleson measure, (C) implies the above condition.

Next, we give examples showing that condition (C) is far from being sufficient.

Theorem 3. Given $1 \leq p<\infty$ and $\varepsilon>0$, there exist bounded analytic functions $g_{1}, g_{2}$ with $M\left(|g|^{-2+\varepsilon}\right) \in L^{p}(\mathbb{T})$, such that there exist no $f_{1}, f_{2} \in H^{p}$ satisfying $f_{1} g_{1}+f_{2} g_{2} \equiv 1$.

Proof. We will denote by $\rho(z, w)$ the pseudo-hyperbolic distance in the unit disc, $\rho(z, w)=|z-w||1-\bar{w} z|^{-1}, z, w \in \mathbb{D}$ and $f^{(\jmath)}$ the $j$-th derivative of a function $f$. Let $N$ be a natural number such that $(N+1) \varepsilon>1$.

Let $z_{n}=1-2^{-n}, n \geq 1$, and take an $H^{\infty}$-interpolating sequence $\left\{\alpha_{n}\right\}$, $0<\rho\left(\alpha_{n}, z_{n}\right)$ decreasing to 0 , satisfying

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{n}\left(1-\left|z_{n}\right|\right) \rho\left(\alpha_{n}, z_{n}\right)^{-(N+1) p(2-\varepsilon)}<\infty  \tag{1}\\
& \sum_{n}\left(1-\left|z_{n}\right|\right) \rho\left(\alpha_{n}, z_{n}\right)^{-(2 N+1) p}=\infty \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

Let $B_{1}$ and $B_{2}$ be the Blaschke products with zeros $\left\{z_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{\alpha_{n}\right\}$. From now on, the letter $c$ will denote different constants independent on $n$. Since $B_{2}$ is an interpolating Blaschke product, one has

$$
\inf _{n} \rho\left(z, \alpha_{n}\right) \geq\left|B_{2}(z)\right| \geq c \inf _{n} \rho\left(z, \alpha_{n}\right), \quad|z|<1
$$

(see [7, p. 404]).
Now as in [3] take $g_{i}=B_{i}^{N+1}, i=1,2$. Let $I_{n}$ be the arc on the unit circle centered at 1 of length $2\left(1-\left|z_{n}\right|\right)=2^{-n+1}$. In estimating $|g(z)|^{-1}$, for $z \in \Gamma(\theta)$, the worst case occurs when $z$ is one of the $\left\{z_{n}\right\}$ or $\left\{\alpha_{n}\right\}$. Since $\rho\left(\alpha_{n}, z_{n}\right)$ is decreasing, for $e^{i \theta} \in I_{n} \backslash I_{n+1}$ one has

$$
M\left(|g|^{-1}\right) \leq \frac{c}{\rho\left(\alpha_{n+1}, z_{n+1}\right)^{N+1}}
$$

Hence, condition (1) implies $M\left(|g|^{-2+\varepsilon}\right) \in L^{p}(\mathbb{T})$. Now, assume there exist $f_{1}, f_{2} \in H^{p}$ satisfying $f_{1} g_{1}+f_{2} g_{2} \equiv 1$. Then,

$$
f_{2}^{(N)}\left(z_{n}\right)=\left(B_{2}^{-N-1}\right)^{(N)}\left(z_{n}\right), \quad n \geq 1
$$

Write

$$
B_{2, n}(z)=\prod_{k \neq n} \frac{-\bar{\alpha}_{k}}{\left|\alpha_{k}\right|} \frac{z-\alpha_{k}}{1-\bar{\alpha}_{k} z}, \quad B_{2}^{-N-1}(z)=h(z) k(z)
$$

where $h(z)=\left(1-\bar{\alpha}_{n} z\right)^{N+1} B_{2, n}(z)^{-N-1}, k(z)=\left(z-\alpha_{n}\right)^{-N-1}$. Then

$$
\left(B_{2}^{-N-1}\right)^{(N)}(z)=\sum_{j=0}^{N}\binom{N}{j} h^{(j)}(z) k^{(N-j)}(z)
$$

Using Cauchy's formula on the disk of center $z_{n}$ and radius $4^{-1}\left(1-\left|z_{n}\right|\right)$, one gets

$$
\left|h^{(j)}\left(z_{n}\right)\right| \leq c \frac{\left(1-\left|\alpha_{n}\right|\right)^{N+1}}{\left(1-\left|z_{n}\right|\right)^{j}} \leq c\left(1-\left|z_{n}\right|\right)^{N+1-j}
$$

and hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|h^{(j)}\left(z_{n}\right)\right|\left|k^{(N-j)}\left(z_{n}\right)\right| \leq c\left|z_{n}-\alpha_{n}\right|^{-2 N+j-1}\left(1-\left|z_{n}\right|\right)^{N+1-j} \\
& \leq c \rho\left(z_{n}, \alpha_{n}\right)^{-2 N+j-1}\left(1-\left|z_{n}\right|\right)^{-N}
\end{aligned}
$$

For $j=0$, one gets

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|h\left(z_{n}\right)\right|\left|k^{(N)}\left(z_{n}\right)\right| \geq c\left(1-\left|z_{n}\right|\right)^{N+1}\left|z_{n}-\alpha_{n}\right|^{-2 N-1} \\
& \geq c \rho\left(\alpha_{n}, z_{n}\right)^{-2 N-1}\left(1-\left|z_{n}\right|\right)^{-N} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, for large $n$,
(3) $\quad\left|f_{2}^{(N)}\left(z_{n}\right)\right|=\left|\left(B_{2}^{-N-1}\right)^{(N)}\left(z_{n}\right)\right| \geq c\left(1-\left|z_{n}\right|\right)^{-N} \rho\left(\alpha_{n}, z_{n}\right)^{-2 N-1}$.

Since $f_{2} \in H^{p}$, the function

$$
F\left(e^{i \theta}\right)=\left(\int_{\Gamma(\theta)}\left|f_{2}^{(N)}(z)\right|^{2}(1-|z|)^{2 N-2} d m(z)\right)^{1 / 2}
$$

belongs to $L^{p}(\mathbb{T})\left(\left[11\right.\right.$, p. 216]). For $e^{i \theta} \in I_{n} \backslash I_{n+1}$, since $D_{n}=\{z \in \mathbb{D}$ : $\left.\left|z-z_{n}\right|<4^{-1}\left(1-\left|z_{n}\right|\right)\right\} \subset \Gamma(\theta)$, one has

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|F\left(e^{i \theta}\right)\right|^{2} \geq \int_{D_{n}}\left|f_{2}^{(N)}(z)\right|^{2}(1-|z|)^{2 N-2} d m(z) \\
& \geq c\left(1-\left|z_{n}\right|\right)^{2 N}\left|f_{2}^{(N)}\left(z_{n}\right)\right|^{2}, \quad e^{i \theta} \in I_{n} \backslash I_{n+1}
\end{aligned}
$$

Using (3) and $F \in L^{p}(\mathbb{T})$, one gets

$$
\infty>\sum\left(1-\left|z_{n}\right|\right) \rho\left(\alpha_{n}, z_{n}\right)^{-(2 N+1) p}
$$

and this contradicts (2).
3. In this section we will prove a generalization of Theorem 1 stated in the introduction.

Lemma 1. If $g$ is holomorphic on $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$ and $0<p \leq 2$,

$$
\int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left\{\left|g\left(e^{i \theta}\right)\right|^{p}-|g(0)|^{p}\right\} \frac{d \theta}{2 \pi} \leq 4 \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|g\left(e^{i \theta}\right)-g(0)\right|^{p} \frac{d \theta}{2 \pi}
$$

Proof. This is a general statement for a probability measure $d \mu$ on $X$ and measurable $\varphi$

$$
\int_{X}|\varphi|^{p} d \mu-\left|\int_{X} \varphi d \mu\right|^{p} \leq 4 \int_{X}\left|\varphi-\int_{X} \varphi d \mu\right|^{p} d \mu
$$

First notice that this is trivial for $p \leq 1$ (with constant 1 ) and that for $p=2$ there is equality with constant 1 , too. In general, and assuming without loss of generality that $\int_{X} \varphi d \mu=1$, it follows for real $\varphi$ integrating the inequality

$$
|\varphi|^{p}-1 \leq 3|\varphi-1|^{p}+p(\varphi-1)
$$

For complex-valued $\varphi=\varphi_{1}+i \varphi_{2}$, it follows from $|\varphi|^{p} \leq\left|\varphi_{1}\right|^{p}+\left|\varphi_{2}\right|^{p}$ (for positive $\varphi$ the inequality holds with constant 1 ).

We start with a generalization of a result in [4]. Although we need it only for $H^{\infty}$ functions we state it in full generality, for $B M O A$ functions (see [7] for definitions). We denote by $\|g\|_{*}$ the $B M O$ norm of $g\left(e^{i \theta}\right)$.

Let $d \mu$ be a positive measure on $[0,1)$ such that $\int_{0}^{1} \frac{d \mu(\alpha)}{\alpha^{2}}<+\infty$, and write

$$
\tilde{\mu}(x)=\int_{0}^{1} x^{\alpha} d \mu(\alpha), \quad x>0
$$

Lemma 2. If $g \in B M O A, \frac{\left|g^{\prime}\right|^{2}}{|g|^{2}} \widetilde{\mu}\left(|g|^{2}\right)\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)$ is a Carleson measure with Carleson norm bounded by $K\|g\|_{*}, K$ depending on $\mu$.

Proof. We consider the function

$$
G(z)=\int_{0}^{1} \frac{|g(z)|^{2 \alpha}}{\alpha^{2}} d \mu(\alpha), \quad|z|<1
$$

For $\alpha>0$, a computation shows that $\Delta|g|^{2 \alpha}=4 \alpha^{2}\left|g^{\prime}\right|^{2}|g|^{2 \alpha-2}$ when $g \neq 0$, hence

$$
\Delta G=4\left|g^{\prime}\right|^{2}|g|^{-2} \widetilde{\mu}\left(|g|^{2}\right)
$$

Without loss of generality we can assume that $g$ is holomorphic on $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$. We argue like in $[7, \mathrm{p} .327]$. Let $z_{1}, \ldots, z_{N}$ be the non-zero zeros in $\mathbb{D}$, and let $\Omega_{\varepsilon}$ be the domain $\mathbb{D} \backslash \bigcup_{j=0}^{N} \Delta_{j}$ where $\Delta_{0}=\{|z| \leq \varepsilon\}, \Delta_{j}=\left\{\left|z-z_{j}\right| \leq \varepsilon\right\}$, $j=1, \ldots, N$.

By Green's formula applied to the function $G$ in $\Omega_{\varepsilon}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 4 \iint_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}}\left|g^{\prime}\right|^{2}|g|^{-2} \widetilde{\mu}\left(|g|^{2}\right) \log \frac{1}{|z|} d A(z)=\int_{0}^{2 \pi} G\left(e^{i \theta}\right) d \theta \\
& -\sum_{j=0}^{N} \int_{\partial \Delta_{j}}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial n} G\right) \log \frac{1}{|z|}-|G| \frac{\partial}{\partial n}\left(\log \frac{1}{|z|}\right) d s
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $r=\left|z-z_{j}\right| ;$ then for $z$ close to $z_{j}$,

$$
\left|g^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2}|g(z)|^{-2} \widetilde{\mu}\left(|g(z)|^{2}\right) \leq C r^{-2} \widetilde{\mu}\left(r^{2}\right)
$$

Now, the hypothesis on $\mu$ translates to

$$
\int_{0}^{1} \frac{\widetilde{\mu}(x)}{x}|\log x| d x<+\infty
$$

Hence $\left|g^{\prime}\right|^{2}|g|^{-2} \widetilde{\mu}\left(|g|^{2}\right) \log \frac{1}{|z|}$ is integrable on $\mathbb{D}$. Also, for $\left|z-z_{j}\right|=\varepsilon$

$$
|G(z)| \leq c \int_{0}^{1} \frac{\varepsilon^{2 \alpha}}{\alpha^{2}} d \mu(\alpha)
$$

which tends to 0 when $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, and

$$
|\nabla G(z)| \leq \frac{c}{\varepsilon} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{\varepsilon^{2 \alpha}}{\alpha} d \mu(\alpha)
$$

which also tends to zero when multiplied by $\varepsilon|\log \varepsilon|$. At zero we obtain $-2 \pi|G(0)|$ as limit when $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. Therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{2}{\pi} \iint_{\mathbb{D}}\left|g^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2}|g(z)|^{-2} \widetilde{\mu}\left(|g(z)|^{2}\right) \log \frac{1}{|z|} d A(z) \\
& =\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{\left|g\left(e^{i \theta}\right)\right|^{2 \alpha}}{\alpha^{2}} d \mu(\alpha) d \theta-\int_{0}^{1} \frac{|g(0)|^{2 \alpha}}{\alpha^{2}} d \mu(\alpha) \\
& =\int_{0}^{1} \frac{d \mu(\alpha)}{\alpha^{2}} \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left\{\left|g\left(e^{i \theta}\right)\right|^{2 \alpha}-|g(0)|^{2 \alpha}\right\} \frac{d \theta}{2 \pi} \leq \quad(\text { by Lemma } 1) \\
& \leq 4 \int_{0}^{1} \frac{d \mu(\alpha)}{\alpha^{2}} \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|g\left(e^{i \theta}\right)-g(0)\right|^{2 \alpha} \frac{d \theta}{2 \pi} \leq \int_{0}^{1} \frac{d \mu(\alpha)}{\alpha^{2}}\left(c\|g\|_{*}\right)^{2 \alpha}
\end{aligned}
$$

If $\psi_{w}$ is an automorphism of the disc, applying this inequality to $g \circ \psi_{w}$, changing variables in the area integral and using the invariance of the $B M O$ norm we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sup _{w \in \mathbb{D}} \iint_{\mathbb{D}}\left|g^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2}|g(z)|^{-2} \widetilde{\mu}\left(|g(z)|^{2}\right) \frac{\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)\left(1-|w|^{2}\right)}{|1-z \bar{w}|^{2}} d A(z) \\
& \leq c \int_{0}^{1} \frac{\|g\|_{*}^{2 \alpha}}{\alpha^{2}} d \mu(\alpha)<+\infty
\end{aligned}
$$

and the result follows, by [7, p. 239].
Taking for $\mu$ a delta-mass at $\varepsilon$ we get Cegrell's result [4] that $\left|g^{\prime}\right|^{2}|g|^{\varepsilon-2}(1-$ $|z|^{2}$ ) is a Carleson measure. Taking $d \mu(\alpha)=\alpha^{1+\varepsilon} d \alpha$ one gets that

$$
\frac{\left|g^{\prime}\right|^{2}}{|g|^{2}|\log | g \|^{2+\varepsilon}}\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)
$$

is a Carleson measure for every $\varepsilon>0$.
Next lemma is the $L^{p}$-version of Wolff's criteria for bounded solutions of the $\bar{\partial}$-equation ( $[7, \mathrm{p} .322]$ ).

Lemma 3. Let $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, let $G$ be a $C^{1}$ function in $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$ such that:
(a) $G=\varphi_{1} \psi_{1}$, where $M\left(\varphi_{1}\right) \in L^{p}$ and $\left|\psi_{1}\right|^{2} \log \frac{1}{|z|}$ is a Carleson measure.
(b) $\partial G=\varphi_{2} \psi_{2}$, where $M\left(\varphi_{2}\right) \in L^{p}$ and $\left|\psi_{2}\right| \log \frac{1}{|z|}$ is a Carleson measure.

Then there exists a $C^{1}$ function $u$ in $\mathbb{D}$, continuous on $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$ such that

$$
\frac{\partial u}{\partial \bar{z}}=G
$$

and

$$
\int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|u\left(e^{i \theta}\right)\right|^{p} d \theta \leq C
$$

where $C$ depends only of the $L^{p}$-norms of $M\left(\varphi_{1}\right), M\left(\varphi_{2}\right)$ and the Carleson norms of the measures in (a), (b).

Proof. We adapt Wolff's proof for the case $p=\infty$. Let $q$ be the conjugate exponent of $p, 1<q \leq \infty$. By duality,

$$
\inf \left\{\|b\|_{p}: \frac{\partial b}{\partial \bar{z}}=G\right\}=\sup \left\{\left|\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} F k d \theta\right|: k \in H_{0}^{q},\|k\|_{q} \leq 1\right\}
$$

where $F$ is a priori solution, say the one given by the Cauchy kernel, which is continuous on $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$. By Green's formula

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} F k d \theta=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \iint_{\mathbb{D}} \Delta(F k) \log \frac{1}{|z|} d A(z) \\
& =\frac{2}{\pi} \iint_{\mathbb{D}} k^{\prime}(z) G(z) \log \frac{1}{|z|} d A(z)+\frac{2}{\pi} \iint_{\mathbb{D}} k(z) \frac{\partial G}{\partial z} \log \frac{1}{|z|} d A(z)=I_{1}+I_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

We will prove now that if $|\psi|^{2} \log \frac{1}{|z|}$ is a Carleson measure with constant $K$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\iint_{\mathbb{D}}\left|k^{\prime}(z)\right||\varphi(z)||\psi(z)| \log \frac{1}{|z|} d A(z) \leq C\|k\|_{q}\|M \varphi\|_{p} K \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C$ is an absolute constant. This will imply the required bound for $I_{1}$. For $p=\infty, q=1$ this holds true as shown by Wolff reducing the situation to $k=g^{2}$ with $g \in H^{2}$. Alternatively a real-analysis proof can be obtained using the inequality, following from [6, Th. 1],

$$
\iint_{\mathbb{D}}\left|k^{\prime}(z)\right||\psi(z)| \log \frac{1}{|z|} d A(z) \leq \int_{0}^{2 \pi} A(k)\left(e^{i \theta}\right)^{1 / 2} C(\psi)\left(e^{i \theta}\right) d \theta
$$

where $A(k)$ is the area function of $k$

$$
A(k)\left(e^{i \theta}\right)=\left(\iint_{\Gamma\left(e^{i \theta}\right)}\left|k^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2} d A(z)\right)^{1 / 2}
$$

and $C(\psi)$ is given by

$$
C(\psi)\left(e^{i \theta}\right)=\sup _{e^{i \theta} \in I}\left(\frac{1}{|I|} \iint_{\hat{I}}|\psi|^{2} \log \frac{1}{|z|} d A\right)^{1 / 2}
$$

$\hat{I}$ being the tent over $I$. This method applies to situations where there is no factorization.

For $p=1, q=\infty$, we use Schwarz inequality to bound the left member of (4) by

$$
\left(\iint_{\mathbb{D}}|\varphi|\left|k^{\prime}\right|^{2} \log \frac{1}{|z|} d A(z)\right)^{1 / 2}\left(\iint_{\mathbb{D}}|\varphi||\psi|^{2} \log \frac{1}{|z|} d A(z)\right)^{1 / 2}
$$

If $k \in B M O A,\left|k^{\prime}\right|^{2} \log \frac{1}{|z|} d A$ is a Carleson measure; since Carleson measures operate on functions with integrable non-tangential maximal function, (4) follows for $p=1, q=\infty$. Next, consider the operator, for fixed $\psi$

$$
\varphi \mapsto L_{\varphi}
$$

where $L_{\varphi}(k)=\iint_{\mathbb{D}} k^{\prime} \varphi \psi \log \frac{1}{|z|} d A(z) ;$ let $T_{\infty}^{p}$ be the tent space ([6])

$$
T_{\infty}^{p}=\left\{\varphi: M(\varphi) \in L^{p}\right\}
$$

We have shown that $L$ is bounded from $T_{\infty}^{1}$ to $(B M O A)^{*}$ and from $T_{\infty}^{\infty}$ to $\left(H^{1}\right)^{*}$. By interpolation, we conclude that $L$ is bounded from $T_{\infty}^{p}$ to $\left(H^{q}\right)^{*}$ i.e.

$$
\left|L_{\varphi}(k)\right| \leq C\|k\|_{q}\|M \varphi\|_{p} K
$$

(alternatively, $\varphi$ can be replaced by the harmonic extension of $M \varphi$ and argue with the $L^{p}$-spaces rather than the tent spaces).

It remains to bound $I_{2}$. But

$$
\left|I_{2}\right| \leq \iint_{\mathbb{D}}|k(z)|\left|\varphi_{2}(z)\right|\left|\psi_{2}(z)\right| \log \frac{1}{|z|} d A(z)
$$

and this is easier: just note that $M\left(k \varphi_{2}\right) \leq M(k) M\left(\varphi_{2}\right)$ is in $L^{1}$ and use again that Carleson measures operate on such functions.

Note that the lemma holds if $G, \partial G$ are linear combinations $\sum \varphi_{i} \psi_{i}$ with $\varphi_{i}, \psi_{i}$ as above.

Theorem 4. Let $g_{1}, g_{2} \in H^{\infty}$ such that $|g|^{2}=\left|g_{1}\right|^{2}+\left|g_{2}\right|^{2}>0$. Let $\mu$ and $\tilde{\mu}$ be as above. Assume that

$$
M\left(\frac{1}{|g|^{2}} \frac{1}{\widetilde{\mu}\left(|g|^{2}\right)}\right) \in L^{p}(\mathbb{T})
$$

Then there are $f_{1}, f_{2} \in H^{p}$ such that $f_{1} g_{1}+f_{2} g_{2}=1$.
Proof. By a standard regularization argument we may assume that $g_{1}, g_{2}$ are holomorphic on $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$. The smooth solutions

$$
\varphi_{i}=\frac{\bar{g}_{i}}{|g|^{2}}, \quad i=1,2
$$

satisfy $M\left(\varphi_{i}\right) \in L^{p}$ and the general holomorphic solutions are given by

$$
f_{1}=\varphi_{1}+u g_{2} \quad f_{2}=\varphi_{2}-u g_{1}
$$

where $u$ satisfies

$$
\frac{\partial u}{\partial \bar{z}}=\frac{\overline{g_{1}^{\prime}} \overline{g_{2}}-\overline{g_{1}} \overline{g_{2}^{\prime}}}{|g|^{4}} \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} G
$$

We need only check that $G$ satisfies the hypothesis of Lemma 3. For (a) we can take, by Lemma 2, $\psi_{1}$ to be

$$
\psi_{1}=\frac{\overline{g_{i}^{\prime}}}{|g|} \widetilde{\mu}\left(|g|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}, \quad\left|\psi_{1}\right| \leq \frac{\left|g_{i}^{\prime}\right|}{\left|g_{i}\right|} \widetilde{\mu}\left(\left|g_{i}\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}
$$

and

$$
\varphi_{1}=\frac{\overline{g_{j}}}{|g|^{3} \widetilde{\mu}\left(|g|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}}, \quad\left|\varphi_{1}\right| \leq \frac{1}{|g|^{2} \widetilde{\mu}\left(|g|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}}
$$

Similarly, $\partial G$ is a linear combination of terms of type

$$
\frac{\overline{g_{i}^{\prime}} \overline{g_{j}^{\prime}}}{|g|^{6}} g_{k} g_{l}
$$

and we may take

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \psi_{2}=\frac{\overline{g_{i}^{\prime}} \overline{g_{j}^{\prime}} \widetilde{\mu}\left(|g|^{2}\right), \quad\left|\psi_{2}\right| \leq \frac{\left|g_{i}^{\prime}\right|^{2}}{\left|g_{i}\right|^{2}} \widetilde{\mu}\left(\left|g_{i}\right|^{2}\right)+\frac{\left|g_{j}^{\prime}\right|^{2}}{\left|g_{3}\right|^{2}} \widetilde{\mu}\left(\left|g_{j}\right|^{2}\right)}{\varphi_{2}=\frac{g_{k} g_{l}}{|g|^{4} \widetilde{\mu}\left(|g|^{2}\right)}, \quad\left|\varphi_{2}\right| \leq \frac{1}{|g|^{2} \widetilde{\mu}\left(|g|^{2}\right)}}
\end{aligned}
$$

using again Lemma 2.
We note as a particular case of the theorem, corresponding to $d \mu(\alpha)=$ $\alpha^{1+\varepsilon} d \alpha$, the sufficient condition

$$
M\left(\frac{|\log | g \|^{2+\varepsilon}}{|g|^{2}}\right) \in L^{p}
$$

stated in the introduction.
4. Lemma 2 can be used as well to improve Cegrell's result on the equation $f=f_{1} g_{1}+f_{2} g_{2}$ :

Theorem 5. If $f, g_{1}, g_{2} \in H^{\infty}$ satisfy

$$
|f| \leq|g|^{2} \widetilde{\mu}\left(|g|^{2}\right)
$$

there exist $f_{1}, f_{2} \in H^{\infty}$ such that $f=f_{1} g_{1}+f_{2} g_{2}$.
Proof. In this case it must be shown that the equation $\bar{\partial} u=G$ where

$$
G=f \frac{\overline{g_{1}^{\prime}} \overline{g_{2}}-\overline{g_{1}} \overline{g_{2}^{\prime}}}{\left(\left|g_{1}\right|^{2}+\left|g_{2}\right|^{2}\right)^{2}}
$$

has a bounded solution. In this case

$$
|G| \leq \frac{\left|g_{1}^{\prime}\right|+\left|g_{2}^{\prime}\right|}{|g|} \widetilde{\mu}\left(|g|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} \leq \frac{\left|g_{1}^{\prime}\right|}{\left|g_{1}\right|} \widetilde{\mu}\left(\left|g_{1}\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}+\frac{\left|g_{2}^{\prime}\right|}{\left|g_{2}\right|} \widetilde{\mu}\left(\left|g_{2}\right|^{2}\right)
$$

and $|G|^{2}(1-|z|)$ is indeed a Carleson measure; similarly for $\partial G$.
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