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## 1. Introduction

Let $H^{\infty}$ be the algebra of bounded analytic functions on the unit disc $D$ of the complex plane. A function in $H^{\infty}$ is called inner if it has radial limits of modulus one, almost everywhere on the unit circle. Given a sequence $\left\{z_{n}\right\}$ of points in $D$ satisfying the Blaschke condition $\sum_{n}\left(1-\left|z_{n}\right|\right)<+\infty$ and a real number $\gamma$, the Blaschke product

$$
B(z)=e^{i y_{z} z^{m}} \prod_{z_{n} \neq 0} \frac{-\bar{z}_{n}}{\left|z_{n}\right|} \frac{z-z_{n}}{1-\bar{z}_{n} z} \quad \text { for } z \in D
$$

is an inner function. Given a positive measure $\sigma$ on the unit circle, singular to Lebesgue measure, the singular function

$$
S(\sigma)(z)=\exp \left(-\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{e^{i \theta}+z}{e^{i \theta}-z} d \sigma(\theta)\right) \text { for } z \in D
$$

is also inner. It is well known that any inner function can be factored into a Blaschke product and a singular function.

Let $I$ be an inner function and $\alpha \in D$. It is clear that

$$
\tau_{\alpha}(I)(z)=\frac{I(z)-\alpha}{1-\bar{\alpha} I(z)} \quad \text { for } z \in D
$$

is also inner. Actually, Frostman proved that for all $\alpha \in D$, except possibly for a set of logarithmic capacity zero, the function $\tau_{\alpha}(I)$ is a Blaschke product.

See [4, Chapter II] for the proofs of these results.
A Blaschke product $B$ is called indestructible if $\tau_{\alpha}(B)$ is a Blaschke product for all $\alpha \in D$, that is, if there is no exceptional set in Frostman's Theorem. As far as I know, the problem of characterizing the indestructible Blaschke products in terms of the distribution of their zeros remains open. In this paper we solve a conformal invariant version of that problem.

A positive measure $\mu$ on $D$ is a Carleson measure if there is a constant $C=C(\mu)$ such that $\mu(Q) \leqslant C l(Q)$, for every sector

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q=\{z \in D: 1-|z| \leqslant h,|\operatorname{Arg} z-\theta| \leqslant h\} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $l(Q)=h$.
A sequence $\left\{z_{n}\right\}$ of points in $D$ is called an interpolating sequence if, for every bounded sequence $\left\{w_{n}\right\}$ of complex numbers, there exists $f \in H^{\infty}$ such that $f\left(z_{n}\right)=w_{n}$

[^0]for $n=1,2, \ldots$. Carleson proved that $\left\{z_{n}\right\}$ is an interpolating sequence if and only if $\inf _{n \neq m}\left|\left(z_{n}-z_{m}\right) /\left(1-\bar{z}_{m} z_{n}\right)\right|>0$ and $\mu=\sum_{n}\left(1-\left|z_{n}\right|^{2}\right) \delta_{n}$ is a Carleson measure, where $\delta_{n}$ is the Dirac measure at $z_{n}$. (See [4, Chapter VII].)

An interpolating Blaschke product is a Blaschke product whose zero set is an interpolating sequence. It is known that a Blaschke product with zeros $\left\{z_{n}\right\}$ is a finite product of interpolating Blaschke products if and only if the measure $\mu=\sum\left(1-\left|z_{n}\right|^{2}\right) \delta_{n}$ is a Carleson measure [6]. This last condition is the conformal invariant version of the Blaschke condition; therefore the finite products of interpolating Blaschke products can be thought of, in terms of their zeros, as conformal invariant Blaschke products.

Our main result is a characterization of the Blaschke products $B$ which are such that $\tau_{\alpha}(B)$ is a finite product of interpolating Blaschke products for all $\alpha \in D$. Given a sector $Q$ and $N>0$, we denote by $N Q$ the dilatation of $Q$ with factor $N$, that is, the sector defined by the right-hand side of (1.1) with $h$ replaced by $N h$.

Theorem. Let $B$ be a finite product of interpolating Blaschke products. Let $\left\{z_{n}\right\}$ be the sequence of zeros of $B, \delta_{n}$ the Dirac measure at $z_{n}$ and $\mu=\sum\left(1-\left|z_{n}\right|^{2}\right) \delta_{n}$.

The following are equivalent.
(i) For all $\alpha \in D$, the function $\tau_{\alpha}(B)$ is a finite product of interpolating Blaschke products.
(ii) For every $M>0$, there exist positive numbers $\delta=\delta(M), \varepsilon=\varepsilon(M)$ such that if $Q$ is a sector satisfying $l(Q)<\delta$ and $\mu(Q)>M l(Q)$, then there exists another sector $Q^{\prime}$ with $\varepsilon Q \subset Q^{\prime} \subset \varepsilon^{-1} Q$ such that

$$
\left|\frac{\mu(Q)}{l(Q)}-\frac{\mu\left(Q^{\prime}\right)}{l\left(Q^{\prime}\right)}\right| \geqslant \varepsilon
$$

Condition (ii) is, in some sense, opposite to Bishop's condition characterizing the Blaschke products in the little Bloch space $B_{0}$ (see [2]). Since Blaschke products in $B_{0}$ are very far away from being interpolating, this should be not surprising. Actually, in the proof of (ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i), we use some of Bishop's ideas.

We prove the Theorem in the next section. In Section 3, given a number $m$ satisfying $0<m<1$, we construct an interpolating Blaschke product $B=B(m)$ such that $\tau_{\alpha}(B)$ is not a finite product of interpolating Blaschke products, for all $\alpha \in D$ with $|\alpha| \geqslant m$. So there is no analogue of Frostman's Theorem for the class of finite products of interpolating Blaschke products. We use this result in order to answer in the negative a question in [10] about the Nevanlinna-Pick interpolation problem. The last section contains some remarks.

I would like to thank Professor John Garnett for many helpful conversations.

## 2. Proof of the Theorem

Given a sector $Q=\{z \in D: 1-|z| \leqslant h,|\operatorname{Arg}(z)-\theta| \leqslant h\}$, define $z_{Q}=(1-h) e^{1 \theta}$. For $z \in D$ and $0<\delta<1$, let

$$
D_{H}(z, \delta)=\left\{w \in D: \rho(z, w)=\left|\frac{z-w}{1-\bar{w} z}\right|<\delta\right\}
$$

be the pseudohyperbolic disc of centre $z$ and radius $\delta$. The following result follows easily from [5, Lemmas 1 and 3].

Lemma 1 [5]. Let $B$ be a Blaschke product with zeros $\left\{z_{n}\right\}$. Then $B$ is a finite product of interpolating Blaschke products if and only if there exists a number $m$ satisfying $0<m<1$ and a subsequence $\left\{c_{n}\right\}$ of $\left\{z_{n}\right\}$ such that the discs $D_{H}\left(c_{n}, m\right)$ are pairwise disjoint and

$$
\inf \left\{|B(z)|: z \notin \bigcup_{n} D_{H}\left(c_{n}, m\right)\right\}>0 .
$$

Now let us go into the proof of the Theorem.
(i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) Let $B$ be a finite product of interpolating Blaschke products and assume that (ii) fails. Then there exist $M>0, \varepsilon_{j}$ tending to zero, and sectors $Q_{j}$ such that

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mu\left(Q_{j}\right)>M l\left(Q_{j}\right), \quad l\left(Q_{j}\right) \underset{j \rightarrow \infty}{\longrightarrow} 0 \\
\sup \left\{\left|\frac{\mu\left(Q_{j}\right)}{l\left(Q_{j}\right)}-\frac{\mu\left(Q_{j}^{\prime}\right)}{l\left(Q_{j}^{\prime}\right)}\right|: \varepsilon_{j}^{2} Q_{j} \subset Q_{j}^{\prime} \subset \varepsilon_{j}^{-2} Q_{j}\right\} \underset{j \rightarrow \infty}{\longrightarrow} 0 . \tag{2.1}
\end{gather*}
$$

Consider $\alpha_{j}=z_{Q_{j}}$. Using the inequality $\log x^{-1} \geqslant 2^{-1}\left(1-x^{2}\right)$ for $0<x<1$, and the identity

$$
1-\left|\frac{z-w}{1-\bar{w} z}\right|^{2}=\frac{\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)\left(1-|w|^{2}\right)}{|1-z \bar{w}|^{2}} \quad \text { for } z, w \in D
$$

one can get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\log \left|B\left(\alpha_{j}\right)\right|^{-1} & \geqslant \frac{1}{2} \sum_{z_{n} \in Q_{j}} \frac{\left(1-\left|z_{n}\right|^{2}\right)\left(1-\left|\alpha_{j}\right|^{2}\right)}{\left|1-\bar{z}_{n} \alpha_{j}\right|^{2}} \\
& \geqslant \frac{1}{8\left(1-\left|\alpha_{j}\right|\right)} \sum_{z_{n} \in Q_{j}}\left(1-\left|z_{n}\right|^{2}\right)=\frac{1}{8} \frac{\mu\left(Q_{j}\right)}{l\left(Q_{j}\right)}>\frac{1}{8} M .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus,

$$
\left|B\left(\alpha_{j}\right)\right| \leqslant \exp \left(-\frac{1}{8} M\right)<1 .
$$

We claim that it is sufficient to show that for each $m$ with $0<m<1$, one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup \left\{(1-|z|)\left|B^{\prime}(z)\right|: z \in D_{H}\left(\alpha_{j}, m\right)\right\} \underset{j \rightarrow \infty}{\longrightarrow} 0 \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Assume that (2.2) holds. Taking a subsequence if necessary, one can assume that

$$
\lim _{j \rightarrow \infty} B\left(\alpha_{j}\right)=a \in D
$$

Now using (2.2), for each $0<r<1$ one has

$$
\sup \left\{\left|\frac{B(z)-a}{1-\bar{a} B(z)}\right|: z \in D_{H}\left(\alpha_{j}, r\right)\right\} \underset{j \rightarrow \infty}{\longrightarrow} 0
$$

Applying Lemma 1 , one gets that $\tau_{a}(B)$ is not a finite product of interpolating Blaschke products and this finishes the proof of (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii). Thus, it suffices to show that (2.2) holds.

We shall omit the index $j$, writing $Q=Q_{j}, \alpha=\alpha_{j}, N=\left[\varepsilon_{j}^{-1 / 2}\right]$ and $l(Q) \rightarrow 0, N \rightarrow \infty$ when $j \rightarrow \infty$. Consider the collection $\left\{Q^{(k)}: k=1, \ldots, N^{2}\right\}$ of sectors with pairwise disjoint interiors lying inside $N Q$, with $l\left(Q^{(k)}\right)=N^{-1} l(Q)$ and $R=\bigcup_{k} Q^{(k)}$. If $l(Q)$ is sufficiently small, one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu(N Q \backslash R)=0 \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Otherwise, there would exist $z_{n} \in N Q \backslash R$, and taking

$$
T=\left\{z \in D: 1-|z| \leqslant 1-\left|z_{n}\right|,\left|\operatorname{Arg} z-\operatorname{Arg} z_{n}\right|<1-\left|z_{n}\right|\right\}
$$

and $T_{1}, T_{2}$ the disjoint sectors inside $T$ with $l\left(T_{i}\right)=2^{-1} l(T)$ for $i=1,2$, it would follow that

$$
\frac{\mu(T)}{l(T)}-\frac{\mu\left(T_{1}\right)}{l\left(T_{1}\right)} \geqslant 1+\frac{\mu\left(T_{1}\right)+\mu\left(T_{2}\right)}{l(T)}-\frac{\mu\left(T_{1}\right)}{l\left(T_{1}\right)}=1+\frac{1}{2}\left[\frac{\mu\left(T_{2}\right)}{l\left(T_{2}\right)}-\frac{\mu\left(T_{1}\right)}{l\left(T_{1}\right)}\right]
$$

and this would contradict (2.1). So (2.3) holds.
Applying (2.1), one gets

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup \left\{\left|\frac{\mu\left(Q^{(i)}\right)}{l\left(Q^{(i)}\right)}-\frac{\mu\left(Q^{(k)}\right)}{l\left(Q^{(k)}\right)}\right|: i, k=1, \ldots, N^{2}\right\} \longrightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } l(Q) \longrightarrow 0 \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Fix $m$ such that $0<m<1$. One can check that $\rho\left(D_{H}(\alpha, m), D \backslash N Q\right) \rightarrow 1$ as $l(Q) \rightarrow 0$, $N \rightarrow \infty$. Also

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup \left\{\frac{l\left(Q^{(k)}\right)}{1-|z|}: z \in D_{H}(\alpha, m)\right\} \leqslant \frac{N^{-1} l(Q)}{2^{-1}(1-m) l(Q)}=2 N^{-1}(1-m)^{-1} . \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then (2.3) shows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho\left(D_{H}(\alpha, m),\left\{z_{n}\right\}\right) \longrightarrow 1 \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

as $l(Q) \rightarrow 0, N \rightarrow \infty$. Applying Lemma 1 , there exists a constant $C>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\inf \left\{|B(z)|: z \in D_{H}(\alpha, m)\right\} \geqslant C>0 \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, let us prove (2.2). Fixing $z \in D_{H}(\alpha, m)$, one has

$$
(1-|z|)\left|B^{\prime}(z)\right| \leqslant(1-|z|)\left|\frac{B^{\prime}(z)}{B(z)}\right|=\left|\sum_{n} \frac{(1-|z|)\left(1-\left|z_{n}\right|^{2}\right)}{\left(z-z_{n}\right)\left(1-\bar{z}_{n} z\right)}\right| \leqslant A+B,
$$

where

$$
A=\left|\sum_{z_{n} \in N Q} \frac{(1-|z|)\left(1-\left|z_{n}\right|^{2}\right)}{\left(z-z_{n}\right)\left(1-\bar{z}_{n} z\right)}\right|, \quad B=\left|\sum_{z_{n} \in D \backslash N Q} \frac{(1-|z|)\left(1-\left|z_{n}\right|^{2}\right)}{\left(z-z_{n}\right)\left(1-\bar{z}_{n} z\right)}\right| .
$$

Consider $\|\mu\|_{c}=\sup \left\{\mu(Q) l(Q)^{-1}: Q\right.$ is a sector of the form (1.1) $\}$. Applying (2.6) and the fact that $\mu$ is a Carleson measure, one gets

$$
\begin{align*}
B & \leqslant 2 \sum_{z_{n} \notin N Q} \frac{(1-|z|)\left(1-\left|z_{n}\right|^{2}\right)}{\left|1-\bar{z}_{n} z\right|^{2}} \leqslant 2 \sum_{k=\log _{2}(N)}^{\infty}(1-|z|) \sum_{z_{n} \in 2^{k+1} Q \backslash 2^{k} Q} \frac{1-\left|z_{n}\right|^{2}}{\left|1-\bar{z}_{n} z\right|^{2}} \\
& \leqslant 2 \sum_{k=\log _{2}(N)}^{\infty} \frac{\mu\left(2^{k+1} Q\right)}{2^{2 k}(1-|z|)} \leqslant \frac{4\|\mu\|_{c} l(Q)}{N(1-|z|)} \leqslant \frac{8\|\mu\|_{c}}{N(1-m)} \longrightarrow 0 \tag{2.8}
\end{align*}
$$

as $l(Q) \rightarrow 0, N \rightarrow \infty$, because $1-|z| \geqslant 2^{-1}(1-m) l(Q)$.
On the other hand, (2.3) gives

$$
A=\left|\sum_{z_{n} \in \cup Q^{(k)}} \frac{(1-|z|)\left(1-\left|z_{n}\right|^{2}\right)}{\left(z-z_{n}\right)\left(1-\bar{z}_{n} z\right)}\right| .
$$

Take $\zeta_{k}=z_{Q^{(k)}}$. Given $z_{n} \in Q^{(k)}$, a computation and (2.5) show that

$$
\left|\frac{1}{\left(z-z_{n}\right)\left(1-\bar{z}_{n} z\right)}-\frac{1}{\left(z-\zeta_{k}\right)\left(1-\bar{\zeta}_{k} z\right)}\right| \leqslant \frac{4 N^{-1} l(Q)}{\left|1-\bar{z}_{n} z\right|^{2}(1-|z|)} \leqslant \frac{8 N^{-1}}{\left|1-\bar{z}_{n} z\right|^{2}(1-m)} .
$$

Then, applying (2.7),

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\sum_{k} \sum_{z_{n} \in Q^{(k)}}\left(\frac{1}{\left(z-z_{n}\right)\left(1-\bar{z}_{n} z\right)}-\frac{1}{\left(z-\zeta_{k}\right)\left(1-\bar{\zeta}_{k} z\right)}\right)(1-|z|)\left(1-\left|z_{n}\right|^{2}\right)\right| \\
& \quad \leqslant 8 N^{-1}(1-m)^{-1} \sum_{k} \sum_{z_{n} \in Q^{(k)}} \frac{(1-|z|)\left(1-\left|z_{n}\right|^{2}\right)}{\left|1-\bar{z}_{n} z\right|^{2}} \\
& \quad \leqslant 16 N^{-1}(1-m)^{-1} \log |B(z)|^{-1} \\
& \quad \leqslant 16 N^{-1}(1-m)^{-1} \log \left(C^{-1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore

$$
\begin{align*}
A & \leqslant 16 N^{-1}(1-m)^{-1} \log \left(C^{-1}\right)+\left|\sum_{k} \sum_{z_{n} \in Q^{(k)}} \frac{(1-|z|)\left(1-\left|z_{n}\right|^{2}\right)}{\left(z-\zeta_{k}\right)\left(1-\bar{\zeta}_{k} z\right)}\right| \\
& =16 N^{-1}(1-m)^{-1} \log \left(C^{-1}\right)+\left|\sum_{k} \frac{(1-|z|) \mu\left(Q^{(k)}\right)}{\left(z-\zeta_{k}\right)\left(1-\bar{\zeta}_{k} z\right)}\right| \tag{2.9}
\end{align*}
$$

Applying (2.1) one gets

$$
\sup \left\{\frac{\left|\mu\left(Q^{(k)}\right)-\mu\left(Q^{(1)}\right)\right|}{l\left(Q^{(1)}\right)}: k=1, \ldots, N^{2}\right\} \longrightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } l(Q) \longrightarrow 0, N \longrightarrow \infty
$$

Then, since $l\left(Q^{(1)}\right) \leqslant 2^{-1}\left|\zeta_{k}-\zeta_{k-1}\right|$ for $k=1, \ldots, N^{2}$, one has

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|\sum_{k} \frac{(1-|z|)\left(\mu\left(Q^{(k)}\right)-\mu\left(Q^{(1)}\right)\right)}{\left(z-\zeta_{k}\right)\left(1-\bar{\zeta}_{k} z\right)}\right| \\
& \quad \leqslant 2^{-1} \sup \left\{\frac{\left|\mu\left(Q^{(k)}\right)-\mu\left(Q^{(1)}\right)\right|}{l\left(Q^{(1)}\right)}: k=1, \ldots, N^{2}\right\} \sum_{k} \frac{\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)\left|\zeta_{k}-\zeta_{k-1}\right|}{\left|1-\bar{\zeta}_{k} z\right|^{2}} \\
& \quad \leqslant \pi \sup \left\{\left|\frac{\mu\left(Q^{(k)}\right)-\mu\left(Q^{(1)}\right)}{l\left(Q^{(1)}\right)}\right|: k=1, \ldots, N^{2}\right\} \longrightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } l(Q) \longrightarrow 0, N \longrightarrow \infty \tag{2.10}
\end{align*}
$$

because the last sum is a Riemann sum of the Poisson kernel. Also

$$
\left|\sum_{k} \frac{(1-|z|) \mu\left(Q^{(1)}\right)}{\left(z-\zeta_{k}\right)\left(1-\bar{\zeta}_{k} z\right)}\right|=\frac{\mu\left(Q^{(1)}\right)}{l\left(Q^{(1)}\right)}\left|\sum_{k} \frac{l\left(Q^{(1)}\right)(1-|z|)}{\left(z-\zeta_{k}\right)\left(1-\bar{\zeta}_{k} z\right)}\right|
$$

is a Riemann sum of the integral

$$
\frac{\mu\left(Q^{(1)}\right)}{l\left(Q^{(1)}\right)} \int_{\Gamma} \frac{(1-|z|) d \zeta}{(z-\zeta)(1-\bar{\zeta} z) \zeta}
$$

where $\Gamma=\left\{\zeta \in D:|\zeta|=1-N^{-1} l(Q), \zeta \in N Q\right\}$. Since

$$
\left|\int_{\left\{\left|| |=1-N^{-1} l(Q) \backslash \Gamma\right.\right.} \frac{(1-|z|)}{(z-\zeta)(1-\bar{\zeta} z)} \frac{d \zeta}{\zeta}\right| \leqslant 2 \int_{\left\{\left|\left|| | 1-N^{-1} l(Q)\right\} \backslash\right.\right.} \frac{(1-|z|)}{|z-\zeta|^{2}} d|\zeta| \longrightarrow 0
$$

as $l(Q) \rightarrow 0, N \rightarrow \infty$, and

$$
\int_{\left\{\mid\left[\mid=1-N^{-1} l(Q)\right\}\right.} \frac{(1-|z|) d \zeta}{(z-\zeta)(1-\bar{\zeta} z) \zeta}=0
$$

one gets

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\sum_{k} \frac{(1-|z|) \mu\left(Q^{(1)}\right)}{\left(z-\zeta_{k}\right)\left(1-\bar{\zeta}_{k} z\right)}\right| \longrightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } l(Q) \longrightarrow 0, N \longrightarrow \infty . \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, (2.9), (2.10) and (2.11) give that $A \rightarrow 0$ as $l(Q) \rightarrow 0, N \rightarrow \infty$. This shows that (2.2) holds and finishes the proof that (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii). (Recently, in a private communication, K. Oyma showed me a different proof of this implication, where he studies $|B(z)|$ using harmonic measure techniques.)
(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i) If (i) fails, there exists $\alpha \in D$ with $\alpha \neq 0$, such that $\tau_{\alpha}(B)$ is not a finite product of interpolating Blaschke products. By Lemma 1, there exist $\alpha_{j} \in D$ with $\left|\alpha_{j}\right| \rightarrow 1$, and $m_{j}$ satisfying $0<m_{j} \rightarrow 1$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup \left\{\left.|\log | B(\zeta)\right|^{-1}-\log |\alpha|^{-1} \mid: \zeta \in D_{H}\left(\alpha_{j}, m_{j}\right)\right\} \longrightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } j \longrightarrow \infty . \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

We shall show that for each $0<t<1$ one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup \left\{\left.\left.\left|\pi \frac{\mu\left(Q_{\zeta}\right)}{l\left(Q_{\zeta}\right)}-\log \right| \alpha\right|^{-1} \right\rvert\,: \zeta \in D_{H}\left(\alpha_{j}, t\right)\right\} \longrightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } j \longrightarrow \infty \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $Q_{\zeta}=\{z \in D: 1-|z| \leqslant 1-|\zeta|,|\operatorname{Arg} z-\operatorname{Arg} \zeta| \leqslant 1-|\zeta|\}$. Since (2.13) contradicts (ii), this will finish the proof of the theorem.

Fix $t$ with $0<t<1, \zeta \in D_{H}\left(\alpha_{j}, t\right)$ and $Q=Q_{\zeta}$. Take $s_{j}$ with $0 \leqslant s_{j} \rightarrow 1$ such that $\left(1-s_{j}\right)\left(1-m_{j}\right)^{-1} \rightarrow \infty$, and $\varepsilon_{j}$ with $0<\varepsilon_{j} \rightarrow 0$ such that $\varepsilon_{j}\left(1-s_{j}\right)^{-1} \rightarrow \infty$. Consider

$$
\begin{aligned}
& R=R_{j}(\zeta)=\left\{z \in Q: 1-|z| \leqslant\left(1-s_{j}\right)(1-|\zeta|)\right\} \\
& L=L_{j}(\zeta)=\left(1-\varepsilon_{j}\right) Q \cap\left\{z: 1-|z|=\left(1-s_{j}\right)(1-|\zeta|)\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

From (2.12) it follows that $B$ has no zeros in $D_{H}\left(\alpha_{j}, m_{j}\right)$. The choice of the constants and a computation with the pseudohyperbolic distance, gives that for $j$ sufficiently large, $Q \backslash R \subset D_{H}\left(\alpha_{j}, m_{j}\right)$. Thus

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mu(Q \backslash R)=0,  \tag{2.14}\\
\inf \left\{\rho\left(z,\left\{z_{n}\right\}\right): z \in L=L_{j}(\zeta)\right\} \longrightarrow 1 \quad \text { as } j \longrightarrow \infty . \tag{2.15}
\end{gather*}
$$

Now using (2.15) and the facts that $\varepsilon_{j}\left(1-s_{j}\right)^{-1} \rightarrow \infty$ and $\mu$ is a Carleson measure, one can see, as in (2.8), that

$$
\inf \left\{\left|B_{D \backslash R}(z)\right|: z \in L\right\} \longrightarrow 1 \text { as } j \longrightarrow \infty,
$$

where $B_{D \backslash R}$ is the Blaschke product with zeros $\left\{z_{n}: z_{n} \in D \backslash R\right\}$. Then, using (2.15) and the fact that $\left(1-x^{2}\right)^{-1} \log x^{-2} \rightarrow 1$ as $x \rightarrow 1$, one gets

$$
\sup \left\{\left.|2 \log | B(z)\right|^{-1}-\int_{R} P_{z}(w) d \mu(w) \mid: z \in L\right\} \longrightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } j \longrightarrow \infty
$$

where $P_{z}(w)=\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)|1-\bar{w} z|^{-2}$. Since $L \subset D_{H}\left(\alpha_{j}, m_{j}\right)$ for $j$ sufficiently large, (2.12) shows that

$$
\sup \left\{\left.|2 \log | \alpha\right|^{-1}-\int_{R} P_{z}(w) d \mu(w) \mid: z \in L\right\} \longrightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } j \longrightarrow \infty .
$$

Now, parametrizing $L$ by $z=r e^{i \theta}$, where $1-r=\left(1-s_{j}\right)(1-|\zeta|)$, and integrating, one gets

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.|2| L|\log | \alpha\right|^{-1}-\int_{R} \int_{L} P_{r e^{1 \theta}}(w) d \theta \mathrm{~d} \mu(w) \mid \longrightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } j \longrightarrow \infty \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $|L|$ is the Euclidian length of $L$. If $w$ is a zero of $B$ satisfying $w \in\left(1-\varepsilon_{j}^{1 / 2}\right) Q$, one can check that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{L} P_{r e^{1 \theta}}(w) d \theta=\int_{L} \frac{1-r^{2}}{\left|r e^{1 \theta}-w\right|^{2}} d \theta \longrightarrow 2 \pi \quad \text { as } r \longrightarrow 1 \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

because (2.14) and (2.15) show that the zeros of $B$ in $Q$ are much closer to the circle than the points of $L$ are. Also, since $\mu$ is a Carleson measure,

$$
\left|\int_{R \backslash\left(1-\varepsilon_{j}^{1 / 2}\right) Q} \int_{L} P_{r e^{i \theta}}(w) d \theta d \mu(w)\right| \leqslant 2 \pi \mu\left(R \backslash\left(1-\varepsilon_{j}^{1 / 2}\right) Q\right) \longrightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } j \longrightarrow \infty .(2.18)
$$

Now, introducing (2.17) and (2.18) in (2.16), one gets

$$
\left.|2| L|\log | \alpha\right|^{-1}-2 \pi \mu(R) \mid \longrightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } j \longrightarrow \infty
$$

By (2.14), $\mu(R)=\mu(Q)$ and $|L|=\left(1-\varepsilon_{j}\right) l(Q)$. Therefore

$$
\left.\left.|\log | \alpha\right|^{-1}-\pi \frac{\mu(Q)}{l(Q)} \right\rvert\, \longrightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } j \longrightarrow \infty
$$

This proves (2.13) and finishes the proof of the theorem.

## 3. An example

Let $B$ be a Blaschke product. It follows from Lemma 1 , that the set $\left\{\alpha \in D: \tau_{\alpha}(B)\right.$ is not a finite product of interpolating Blaschke products $\}$
is closed. Let us remark that the exceptional set appearing in Frostman's Theorem is not, in general, closed. In fact, it can even be dense on the unit disc (see [8, p. 714]).

In this section we shall show that there is no analogue of Frostman's Theorem for the finite products of interpolating Blaschke products.

Proposition. For each $m$ with $0<m<1$, there exists an interpolating Blaschke product $B=B_{m}$, such that $\tau_{\alpha}(B)$ is not a finite product of interpolating Blaschke products, for all $\alpha \in D$ and $|\alpha| \geqslant m$.

For the proof of the Proposition, we need the following results. Let $f \in H^{\infty}(D)$ and $e^{i \theta} \in \partial D$, then the radial cluster set of $f$ at $e^{i \theta}$ is the set of complex numbers $w$ such that there exists $r_{k} e^{i \theta}$ with $1>r_{k} \rightarrow 1$, such that $f\left(r_{k} e^{i \theta}\right) \rightarrow w$.

Lemma 2. There exists an interpolating Blaschke product whose radial cluster set at the point 1 contains the unit circle.

Lemma 3. Let $f \in H^{\infty}(D), z_{k} \in D$ and $t_{k}$ satisfying $0<t_{k}<1, t_{k} \rightarrow 1$ be such that

$$
\sup \left\{||f(z)|-\alpha|: z \in D_{H}\left(z_{k}, t_{k}\right)\right\} \longrightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } k \longrightarrow \infty .
$$

Then there exist $l_{k}$ with $0<l_{k}<1, l_{k} \longrightarrow 1$, such that

$$
\sup \left\{\left|f(z)-f\left(z_{k}\right)\right|: z \in D_{H}\left(z_{k}, l_{k}\right)\right\} \longrightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } k \longrightarrow \infty .
$$

Proof of Lemma 2. Let $\left\{e^{i \theta_{k}}\right\}$ be a dense sequence in the unit circle. We shall choose the zeros $\left\{z_{n}\right\}$ of the Blaschke product by induction and we shall denote by $B_{N}$ the Blaschke product with zeros $z_{1}, \ldots, z_{N}$.

Choose $r_{1}$ and $z_{1}$ with $0<r_{1}<1$ and $\rho\left(z_{1}, r_{1}\right)=2^{-1}$ such that

$$
\left|B_{1}\left(r_{1}\right)-e^{1 \theta_{1}}\right|=2^{-1} .
$$

Assume that we have defined $r_{1}, \ldots, r_{k}$ with $1-r_{j+1}^{2} \leqslant 2^{-3 j}\left(1-r_{j}^{2}\right)$ and $z_{1}, \ldots, z_{k}$ with $\rho\left(z_{j}, r_{j}\right)=1-2^{-j}$ for $j=1, \ldots, k$, such that

$$
\left|B_{k}\left(r_{k}\right)-e^{1 \theta_{k}}\right| \leqslant 2^{-k+1} .
$$

Then, choose $r_{k+1}<1$ with $1-r_{k+1}^{2}<2^{-3 k}\left(1-r_{k}^{2}\right)$ such that

$$
1-\left|B_{k}\left(r_{k+1}\right)\right| \leqslant 2^{-k-1}
$$

and $z_{k+1}$ with $\rho\left(z_{k+1}, r_{k+1}\right)=1-2^{-k-1}$ such that

$$
\left|\frac{-\bar{z}_{k+1}}{\left|z_{k+1}\right|} \frac{r_{k+1}-z_{k+1}}{1-\bar{z}_{k+1} r_{k+1}}-e^{\mathrm{i} \theta_{k+1}} \frac{\overline{\bar{B}_{k}\left(r_{k+1}\right)}}{\left|B_{k}\left(r_{k+1}\right)\right|}\right|=2^{-k-1} .
$$

Now,

$$
\left|B_{k+1}\left(r_{k+1}\right)-e^{1 \theta_{k+1}}\right| \leqslant 1-\left|B_{k}\left(r_{k+1}\right)\right|+\left|\frac{-\bar{z}_{k+1}}{z_{k+1}} \frac{r_{k+1}-z_{k+1}}{1-\bar{z}_{k+1} r_{k+1}}-e^{\mathrm{i} \theta_{k+1}} \frac{\overline{B_{k}\left(r_{k+1}\right)}}{\left|B_{k}\left(r_{k+1}\right)\right|}\right| \leqslant 2^{-k} .
$$

Since $\rho\left(z_{i}, r_{i}\right)=1-2^{-i}$ and $1-r_{k+1}^{2} \leqslant 2^{-3 k}\left(1-r_{k}^{2}\right)$, using the inequality

$$
\frac{|z|-|w|}{1-|w||z|} \leqslant \rho(z, w) \leqslant \frac{|z|+|w|}{1+|w||z|} \quad \text { for } z, w \in D
$$

(see [4, p. 4]), one gets

$$
\begin{aligned}
1-\left|z_{k+1}\right|^{2} & \leqslant 1-\left(\frac{r_{k+1}-\left(1-2^{-k-1}\right)}{1-\left(1-2^{-k-1}\right) r_{k+1}}\right)^{2}=\frac{\left(1-r_{k+1}^{2}\right) 2^{2(-k-1)}}{\left(1-\left(1-2^{-k-1}\right) r_{k+1}\right)^{2}} \\
& \leqslant 2^{-3 k} \frac{\left(1-r_{k}^{2}\right) 2^{-2 k}}{2^{-2 k}}=2^{-k}\left(1-r_{k}^{2}\right) 2^{-2 k} \leqslant 2^{-k+2}\left(1-\left(\frac{r_{k}+\left(1-2^{-k}\right)}{1+\left(1-2^{-k}\right) r_{k}}\right)^{2}\right) \\
& \leqslant 2^{-k+2}\left(1-\left|z_{k}\right|^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

This shows that $\left\{z_{n}\right\}$ is an interpolating sequence. Let $B$ be the Blaschke product with zeros $\left\{z_{n}\right\}$. One has

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|B\left(r_{k}\right)-e^{1 \theta_{k} \mid}\right| & \left.\leqslant\left|B_{k}\left(r_{k}\right)-e^{i \theta_{k} \mid}+\left|\frac{B\left(r_{k}\right)}{B_{k}\left(r_{k}\right)}-1\right| \leqslant 2^{-k+1}+\sum_{j=k+1}^{\infty}\right| \frac{-\bar{z}_{j}}{\left|z_{j}\right|} \frac{r_{k}-z_{j}}{1-\bar{z}_{j} r_{k}}-1 \right\rvert\, \\
& \leqslant 2^{-k+1}+2 \sum_{j=k+1}^{\infty} \frac{1-\left|z_{j}\right|}{1-r_{k}} \leqslant 2^{-k+1}+4 \frac{1-\left|z_{k+1}\right|}{1-r_{k}} \leqslant 2^{-k+1}+8 \cdot 2^{-3 k}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\left\{e^{\left.1 \theta_{k}\right\}}\right.$ is dense in the unit circle, the radial cluster set of $B$ at the point 1 contains the unit circle.

Proof of Lemma 3. Assume that the conclusion fails. Then taking a subsequence if necessary, there exist $l$ with $0<l<1$ and points $c_{k} \in D_{H}\left(z_{k}, l\right)$ such that $\left|f\left(c_{k}\right)-f\left(z_{k}\right)\right| \geqslant \delta>0$. Since

$$
\delta \leqslant\left|f\left(c_{k}\right)-f\left(z_{k}\right)\right| \leqslant \int_{z_{k}}^{c_{k}}\left|f^{\prime}(w)\right| d|w|
$$

there exist $\zeta_{k} \in D_{H}\left(z_{k}, l\right)$ and a constant $c=c(l)>0$, such that

$$
\left(1-\left|\zeta_{k}\right|\right)\left|f^{\prime}\left(\zeta_{k}\right)\right| \geqslant c \delta
$$

Applying Bloch's Theorem [3, p. 295] to the function

$$
\frac{f\left(\tau_{\zeta_{k}^{(2)}}\right)-f\left(\zeta_{k}\right)}{\left(1-\left|\zeta_{k}\right|^{2}\right) f^{\prime}\left(\zeta_{k}\right)} \quad \text { for } z \in D
$$

one gets

$$
f\left(D_{H}\left(\zeta_{k}, 2^{-1}\right)\right) \supset D\left(f\left(\zeta_{k}\right),(72)^{-1} 2^{-1}\left(1-\left|\zeta_{k}\right|^{2}\right)\left|f^{\prime}\left(\zeta_{k}\right)\right|\right) \supset D\left(f\left(\zeta_{k}\right), 144^{-1} c \delta\right)
$$

and this contradicts the hypothesis of Lemma 3.
Proof of the Proposition. Let $B_{1}$ be an interpolating Blaschke product satisfying the conditions of Lemma 2. Choose $r_{k}$ with $0<r_{k}<1, r_{k} \rightarrow 1$, such that

$$
\left\{B_{1}\left(r_{k}\right): k=1,2, \ldots\right\}
$$

is dense on the unit circle and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1-r_{k+1}}{1-r_{k}} \leqslant 2^{-2 k} \quad \text { for } k=1,2, \ldots \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Take $\alpha_{k}$ with $m<\alpha_{k}<1-k^{-1}$, such that $\left\{\alpha_{k} B_{1}\left(r_{k}\right): k=1,2, \ldots\right\}$ is dense in

$$
\{z: m<|z|<1\} .
$$

We shall construct an interpolating Blaschke product $B_{2}$ such that $B_{1} B_{2}$ is interpolating and for all $k=1,2, \ldots$, the function

$$
\frac{B_{1} B_{2}(z)-\alpha_{k} B_{1}\left(r_{k}\right)}{1-\overline{\alpha_{k} B_{1}\left(r_{k}\right)} B_{1} B_{2}(z)} \quad \text { for } z \in D
$$

is not a finite product of interpolating Blaschke products. Then, the observation of the beginning of this section will give the proof of the Proposition.

Consider $Q_{k}=\left\{z \in D: 1-|z| \leqslant 1-r_{k},|\operatorname{Arg} z| \leqslant 1-r_{k}\right\}$, then

$$
k \bar{Q}_{k} \cap\{|z|=1\}=\left\{e^{i \theta}:-a_{k} \leqslant \theta \leqslant a_{k}\right\},
$$

where $a_{k}=k\left(1-r_{k}\right)$. Define $t_{k}$ by $1-t_{k}=k^{-1}\left(1-r_{k}\right)$ and $s_{k}$ with $0<s_{k}<\pi$ by $\left|\exp \left(\mathrm{i} s_{k}\right)-1\right|=2 \pi\left(1-t_{k}\right)\left(\log \left|\alpha_{k}\right|^{-1}\right)^{-1}$, and put

$$
z_{n}^{(k)}=t_{k} \exp \left(\mathrm{is}_{k} n\right) \quad \text { for } n=-\left[a_{k} s_{k}^{-1}\right], \ldots, 0, \ldots,\left[a_{k} s_{k}^{-1}\right]
$$

Thus,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|z_{n}^{(k)}-z_{n+1}^{(k)}\right|=t_{k} 2 \pi\left(1-t_{k}\right)\left(\log \left|\alpha_{k}\right|^{-1}\right)^{-1}=t_{k}\left(1-\left|z_{n}^{(k)}\right|\right) 2 \pi\left(\log \left|\alpha_{k}\right|^{-1}\right)^{-1} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $Q$ is a sector in the unit disc, one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n: z_{n}^{(k)} \in Q}\left(1-\left|z_{n}^{(k)}\right|\right) \leqslant 2(2 \pi)^{-1} \log \left|\alpha_{k}\right|^{-1} l(Q) \leqslant 2(2 \pi)^{-1} \log m^{-1} l(Q) \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $I_{k}$ be the Blaschke product with zeros $\left\{z_{n}^{(k)}: n=-\left[a_{k} s_{k}^{-1}\right], \ldots,\left[a_{k} s_{k}^{-1}\right]\right\}$. Let $Z(B)$ denote the zero set of the Blaschke product $B$. One can choose $r_{k}$ in such a way that $\rho\left(Z\left(I_{k}\right), Z\left(B_{1}\right)\right) \geqslant 2^{-1}$.

Let $a_{k} \sim b_{k}$ mean that $\left|a_{k}-b_{k}\right| \rightarrow 0$, as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Using (3.2), for each $M<1$, one has $\sup \left\{\left.|\log | I_{k}(z)\right|^{-1}-\log \left|\alpha_{k}\right|^{-1} \mid: z \in D_{H}\left(r_{k}, M\right)\right\}$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sim \sup \left\{\left.\left.\left|\frac{1}{2} \sum_{n} \frac{\left(1-\left|z_{n}^{(k)}\right|^{2}\right)\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)}{\left|1-\bar{z}_{n}^{(k)} z\right|^{2}}-\log \right| \alpha_{k}\right|^{-1} \right\rvert\,: z \in D_{H}\left(r_{k}, M\right)\right\} \\
& \sim \sup \left\{\left.\left.\left|(2 \pi)^{-1}\left(\log \left|\alpha_{k}\right|^{-1}\right) \sum_{n} \frac{\left|z_{n+1}^{(k)}-z_{n}^{(k)}\right|\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)}{\left|1-\bar{z}_{n}^{(k)} z\right|^{2}}-\log \right| \alpha_{k}\right|^{-1} \right\rvert\,: z \in D_{H}\left(r_{k}, M\right)\right\} \longrightarrow 0 \tag{3.4}
\end{align*}
$$

as $k \rightarrow \infty$, because the last sum is a Riemann sum of the integral of the Poisson kernel at the point $z$ along the $\operatorname{arc}\left\{t_{k} e^{i \theta}:-a_{k} \leqslant \theta \leqslant a_{k}\right\}$. Since the points $\left\{z_{n}^{(k)}\right\}$ are symmetric with respe $i t$ to the real axis, one has $I_{k}\left(r_{k}\right)>0$. So (3.4) and Lemma 3 give that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup \left\{\left|I_{k}(z)-\alpha_{k}\right|: z \in D_{H}\left(r_{k}, M\right)\right\} \longrightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } k \longrightarrow \infty \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for each $M$ with $0<M<1$. Consider $B_{2}=\prod_{k} I_{k}$. Using (3.1) and (3.3) one can easily show that $B_{2}$ is an interpolating Blaschke product. Since $\rho\left(Z\left(B_{2}\right), Z\left(B_{1}\right)\right) \geqslant 2^{-1}$, it follows that $B_{1} B_{2}$ is an interpolating Blaschke product. Also, using (3.1) and the symmetry of $\left\{z_{n}^{(k)}\right\}$, one can check that

$$
\prod_{j \neq k} I_{j}\left(r_{k}\right) \longrightarrow 1 \quad \text { as } k \longrightarrow \infty .
$$

So, from (3.5) and Schwarz's Lemma, it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup \left\{\left|B_{2}(z)-\alpha_{k}\right|: z \in D_{H}\left(r_{k}, M\right)\right\} \longrightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } k \longrightarrow \infty \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

for each $M$ with $0<M<1$. Since $\left|B_{1}\left(r_{k}\right)\right| \rightarrow 1$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$, another application of Schwarz's Lemma gives that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup \left\{\left|B_{1}(z)-B_{1}\left(r_{k}\right)\right|: z \in D_{H}\left(r_{k}, M\right)\right\} \longrightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } K \longrightarrow \infty \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

for each $0<M<1$. Now for fixed $a=\alpha_{k} B_{1}\left(r_{k}\right)$, (3.6) and (3.7) imply that there exists a subsequence $\left\{p_{k}\right\}$ of $\left\{r_{k}\right\}$ such that

$$
\sup \left\{\left|\frac{B_{1} B_{2}(z)-a}{1-\bar{a} B_{1} B_{2}(z)}\right|: z \in D_{H}\left(p_{k}, M\right)\right\} \longrightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } k \longrightarrow \infty
$$

for each $M$ with $0<M<1$, and Lemma 1 shows that the function

$$
\frac{B_{1} B_{2}(z)-a}{1-\bar{a} B_{1} B_{2}(z)}
$$

is not a finite product of interpolating Blaschke products. This finishes the proof of the Proposition.

Now, we use the Proposition in order to answer in the negative a question in [10, p. 515]. First, we recall some results.

Give two sequences of points $\left\{z_{n}\right\},\left\{w_{n}\right\}$ in $D$, the Nevanlinna-Pick interpolation problem consists in finding analytic functions $f \in H^{\infty}$ satisfying

$$
\|f\|_{\infty}=\sup \{|f(z)|: z \in D\} \leqslant 1 \quad \text { and } \quad f\left(z_{n}\right)=w_{n} \text { for } n=1,2, \ldots
$$

We shall denote it by
(*) Find $f \in H^{\infty},\|f\|_{\infty} \leqslant 1, f\left(z_{n}\right)=w_{n}, n=1,2, \ldots$.
Pick and Nevanlinna found necessary and sufficient conditions in order that the problem (*) has a solution. Let $G$ be the set of all solutions of the problem (*). Nevanlinna showed that if $G$ consists of more than one element, there is a parametrization of the form

$$
G=\left\{f \in H^{\infty}: f=\frac{p \phi+q}{r \phi+s}, \phi \in H^{\infty},\|\phi\|_{\infty} \leqslant 1\right\}
$$

where $p, q, r, s$ are certain analytic functions in $D$, depending on $\left\{z_{n}\right\},\left\{w_{n}\right\}$ and satisfying $p s-q r=B$, the Blaschke product with zeros $\left\{z_{n}\right\}$.

Later, Nevanlinna showed that for each unimodular constant $e^{i \theta}$, the function

$$
I_{\theta}=\frac{p e^{\mathrm{i} \theta}+q}{r e^{\mathrm{i} \theta}+s}
$$

is inner. Therefore, if the problem (*) has more than one solution, then there are inner functions solving it. See [4, pp. 6, 165] for the proofs of these results.

Recently, A. Stray [9] has proved that, in fact, for all unimodular constants $e^{i \theta}$ except possibly for a set of zero logarithmic capacity, the function $I_{\theta}$ is a Blaschke product. Also [10, Theorem 3], if $\left\{z_{n}\right\}$ is an interpolating sequence, then there exists a number $r>0$ depending only on $\left\{z_{n}\right\}$, such that if

$$
\inf \left\{\|f\|_{\infty}: f \in G\right\} \leqslant r
$$

then the function $I_{\theta}$ is a finite product of interpolating Blaschke products for all unimodular constants $e^{i \theta}$.

In [10, p. 515], the question is asked if the same result is valid with some numerical constant $r$ independent of $\left\{z_{n}\right\}$. We now answer this question in the negative.

For each $m$ with $0<m<1$, let $B=B_{m}$ be the interpolating Blaschke product given by the Proposition. Let $\left\{z_{n}\right\}$ be the sequence of zeros of $B$. Now, choose $\alpha=\alpha_{m} \in D$ with $|\alpha|=m$, and consider the following Nevanlinna-Pick problem.
$(*)_{m}$ Find $f \in H^{\infty},\|f\|_{\infty} \leqslant 1, f\left(z_{n}\right)=-\alpha, n=1,2, \ldots$.
Let $G_{m}$ be the set of all solutions of $(*)_{m}$. It is clear that

$$
\begin{aligned}
G_{m}= & \left\{\frac{B \phi-\alpha}{1-\bar{\alpha} B \phi}: \phi \in H^{\infty},\|\phi\|_{\infty} \leqslant 1\right\}, \\
& \inf \left\{\|f\|_{\infty}: f \in G_{m}\right\}=m
\end{aligned}
$$

Now the Proposition gives that the function

$$
I_{\theta}=\frac{B e^{\mathrm{i} \theta}-\alpha}{1-\bar{\alpha} B e^{\mathrm{i} \theta}}
$$

is not a finite product of interpolating Blaschke products, for all $\theta \in[0,2 \pi]$. Since one can choose $m$ with $0<m<1$ to be arbitrarily small, this shows that the constant $r$ cannot be chosen independently of $\left\{z_{n}\right\}$.

## 4. Remarks

Let $I$ be an inner function. A computation shows that $I$ is a finite product of interpolating Blaschke products if and only if there exists $r$ with $0<r<1$ such that

$$
\sup _{|w|<1} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \log \left|I\left(\frac{r e^{i \theta}+w}{1+\bar{w} r e^{1 \theta}}\right)\right|^{-1} d \theta<+\infty
$$

This could be understood as the conformal invariant version of Frostman's condition characterizing Blaschke products among inner functions (see [4, p. 56]).

Using the techniques of [1], one can show that conditions (i) or (ii) in the Theorem are also equivalent to any of the following.
(iii) For each $m$ with $0<m<1$, there exists $r$ with $0<r<1$ such that

$$
\inf \left\{\int_{D_{H^{(2, r)}}}\left|B^{\prime}(w)\right|^{2} d m(w):|B(z)| \leqslant m\right\}>0
$$

(iv) For each $m$ with $0<m<1$, there exists $r$ with $0<r<1$ such that

$$
\inf \left\{\operatorname{diameter}\left(B\left(D_{H}(z, r)\right)\right):|B(z)| \leqslant m\right\}>0 .
$$

(v) For each $m$ with $0<m<1$, there exists $r$ with $0<r<1$ such that

$$
\inf \left\{\frac{1}{(1-|z|)^{2}} \int_{D_{H^{( }(z, r)}}|B(w)-B(z)|^{2} d m(w):|B(z)| \leqslant m\right\}>0 .
$$

H. Morse [7] constructed a destructible Blaschke product which becomes indestructible when a single point is deleted from its zero-set. So no asymptotic condition on the measure $\mu$ can characterize indestructible Blaschke products.
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